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Abstract. In the polynomial ring $T = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$, with $n > 1$, we bound the multiplicity of homogeneous radical ideals $I \subset (y_1^{a_1}, \ldots, y_n^{a_n})$ such that $T/I$ is a graded $k$-algebra with Krull dimension one. As a consequence we solve the Waring Problem for all monomials, i.e. we compute the minimal number of linear forms needed to write a monomial as a sum of powers of these linear forms. Moreover, we give an explicit description of a sum of powers decomposition for monomials. We also produce new bounds for the Waring rank of polynomials which are a sum of pairwise coprime monomials.

1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the standard graded polynomial ring in $n$ variables. Given a degree $d$ form $F$ the Waring Problem for Polynomials asks for the least value of $s$ for which there exist linear forms $L_1, \ldots, L_s$ such that

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{s} L_i^d.$$ 

This value of $s$ is called the Waring rank of $F$ (or simply the rank of $F$) and will be denoted by $\text{rk}(F)$.

There was a long-standing conjecture describing the rank of a generic form $F$ of degree $d$, but the verification of that conjecture was only found relatively recently in the famous work of J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz [AH95]. However, for a given specific form $F$ of degree $d$ the value of $\text{rk}(F)$ is not known in general. Moreover, there is no direct algorithmic way to compute the rank of a given form. Given this state of affairs, several attempts have been made to compute the rank of specific forms. One particular family of examples that has attracted attention is the collection of monomials.

A few cases where the ranks of specific monomials are computed can be found in [LM04] and in [LT10]. The most complete result in this direction is in [RS11] where the authors determine $\text{rk}(M)$ for the monomials

$$M = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^m$$

for any $n$ and $m$. In particular, they show that $\text{rk}(M) = (m+1)^{n-1}$. In this paper we generalize that result and find $\text{rk}(M)$ for any monomial, thus completely solving the Waring Problem for monomials.

Our approach to solving the Waring Problem for specific polynomials follows a well known path, namely the use of the Apolarity Lemma 2.1 to relate the computation of $\text{rk}(F)$ to the study of ideals of reduced points contained in the ideal $F^\perp$, see Section 3. For the special case in which $F$ is a monomial we get a bound on...
the multiplicity of an ideal of reduced points $I \subset F^\perp$, see Theorem 3.1. Among the consequences of this result is Corollary 3.3 where we show that

$$\text{rk}(x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}) = \prod_{i=2}^{n} (b_i + 1),$$

where $1 \leq b_1 \leq \ldots \leq b_n$. Moreover, we describe an explicit sum of powers decomposition for monomials, see Corollary 3.8. We also obtain a similar result for the forms which are a sum of pairwise coprime monomials, see Corollary 3.5.

2. Basic facts

We consider $k$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and the polynomial ring $T = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. Given a homogeneous ideal $I \subset T$ we denote by

$$HF(T/I, i) = \dim_k T_i - \dim_k I_i$$

its Hilbert function in degree $i$. It is well known that for all $i >> 0$ the function $HF(T/I, i)$ is a polynomial function with rational coefficients, called the Hilbert polynomial of $T/I$. We say that an ideal $I \subset T$ is one dimensional if the Krull dimension of $T/I$ is one, equivalently the Hilbert polynomial of $T/I$ is some integer constant, say $s$. The integer $s$ is then called the multiplicity of $T/I$. If, in addition, $I$ is a radical ideal, then $I$ is the ideal of a set of $s$ distinct points. We will use the fact that if $I$ is a one dimensional saturated ideal of multiplicity $s$, then $HF(T/I, i)$ is always $\leq s$.

Let $S$ be another polynomial ring, $S = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We make $S$ into a $T$-module by having the variables of $T$ act as partial differentiation operators, e.g. we think of $y_1 = \partial/\partial x_1$. (see, for example, [IK99] or [Ger96]). We refer to a polynomial in $T$ as $\partial$ instead of using capital letters. In particular, for any form $F$ in $S_d$ we define the ideal $F^\perp \subset T$ as follows:

$$F^\perp = \{ \partial \in T : \partial F = 0 \}.$$

The following lemma, which we will call Apolarity Lemma, is a consequence of [IK99] Lemma 1.15.

**Lemma 2.1.** A homogeneous degree $d$ form $F \in S$ can be written as

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{s} L_i^d$$

$L_i$ pairwise linearly independent

if and only if there exists $I \subset F^\perp$ such that $I$ the ideal of a set of $s$ distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

We will also need the following fact.

**Lemma 2.2.** For $n > 1$ consider the ideal $J = (y_1^{a_1}, y_2^{a_2}, \ldots, y_n^{a_n}) \subset T$, where $2 \leq a_2 \leq \ldots \leq a_n$. Set $\tau = a_2 + \ldots + a_n - (n-1)$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=a_2}^{\tau} HF(T/J, i) = \prod_{i=2}^{n} (a_i) - \left( \frac{a_2 + n - 2}{n-1} \right).$$

**Proof.** We first note that $J = (y_1^{a_1}, y_2^{a_2}, \ldots, y_n^{a_n})$ is a homogeneous complete intersection ideal in $k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$. In this case, it is well known that $A = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]/J = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$.
is an Artinian Gorenstein ring for which:

1. if \( \tau = (a_2 + \cdots + a_n) - (n - 1) \) then \( \dim A_\tau \neq 0 \) (in fact, its dimension is 1) and \( \dim A_\ell = 0 \) for \( \ell > \tau \);
2. \( \dim_k A = \sum_{i=0}^{a_2-1} \dim_k A_i = 1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_n = \prod_{i=2}^{n} a_i \). Since \( J_i = (y_1)^i \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq a_2 - 1 \), it follows that (for these same \( i \)) we have

\[
\dim_k A_i = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]_i - \dim_k k[y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}] = \binom{i+n-2}{n-2}.
\]

As a consequence we easily get that

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{a_2-1} \dim_k A_i = \sum_{i=0}^{a_2-1} \binom{i+n-2}{n-2} = \binom{a_2+n-2}{n-1}.
\]

Thus, rewriting (2) above, we get

\[
\sum_{i=a_2}^{\tau} HF(T/J, i) = \binom{n}{i} \prod_{i=2}^{n} a_i - \binom{a_2+n-2}{n-1}
\]

which is what we wanted to prove.

We conclude with the following trivial, but useful, remark.

**Remark 2.3.** The rank of a form \( F \) can be computed in the polynomial ring with the least number of variables containing \( F \). To see this, consider a rank \( d \) form \( F \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) and suppose we know \( \text{rk}(F) \). We can also consider \( F \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y] \) and we can look for a sum of powers decomposition of \( F \) in this extended ring. If

\[
F(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (L_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y))^d,
\]

then, by setting \( y = 0 \), we readily get \( r \geq \text{rk}(F) \). Thus, by adding variables we can not get a sum of powers decomposition involving fewer summands. In particular, given a monomial

\[
M = x_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}},
\]

with \( 1 \leq b_{1} \leq \ldots \leq b_{n} \) it is enough to work in \( k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) in order to compute \( \text{rk}(F) \).

### 3. Main result and applications

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( n > 1 \) and \( K = (y_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, y_{n}^{a_{n}}) \) be an ideal of \( T \) with \( 2 \leq a_{1} \leq \ldots \leq a_{n} \). If \( I \subset K \) is a one dimensional radical ideal of multiplicity \( s \), then

\[
s \geq \prod_{i=2}^{n} a_i.
\]

**Proof.** We consider two cases depending on whether or not \( y_1 \) is a zero divisor in \( T/I \). The condition \( 2 \leq a_{1} \) is needed for the latter, while the former also works for \( a_{1} = 1 \).

Suppose that \( y_1 \) is not a zero divisor in \( T/I \). Consider the short exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow \frac{T}{T \langle -1 \rangle} \xrightarrow{y_1} \frac{T}{T} \rightarrow \frac{T}{I + (y_1)} \rightarrow 0.
\]

Set \( h_i = HF(T/I, i) \) and \( J = (y_1, y_{2}^{a_{2}}, \ldots, y_{n}^{a_{n}}) \) as in Lemma 2.2. Clearly

\[
I \subset I + (y_1) \subset K + (y_1) = J
\]
and hence
\[ h_1 - h_{i-1} = HF \left( \frac{T}{I + (y_1)^i} \right) \geq HF \left( \frac{T}{J}, i \right). \]

Now, as in Lemma 2.2 we set \( \tau = (\sum a_i^2) - (n - 1) \). Using Lemma 2.2 and the inequality we just found on \( h_i - h_{i+1} \), we compute a bound on \( h_\tau \) as follows:
\[ h_\tau \geq h_{\tau-1} + HF \left( \frac{T}{J}, \tau \right) \geq \ldots \geq h_{a_2 - 1} + \sum_{i=a_2}^{\tau} HF \left( \frac{T}{J}, i \right). \]

As \( y_1 \) is not a zero divisor, we conclude that \( I_{a_2 - 1} = (0) \) and hence \( h_{a_2 - 1} = (a_2 - 1 + n - 1) \). Thus Lemma 2.2 yields \( \prod_2 a_i \leq h_\tau \leq s \) as we wanted to show.

Now suppose that \( y_1 \) is a zero divisor on \( T/I \). Consider the ideal \( I' = I : (y_1) \supset I \). Since \( I \) was a one-dimensional radical ideal the same is true for \( I' \). Since \( T/I' \) is a homomorphic image of \( T/I \) the multiplicity of \( T/I' \) (which we will denote by \( s' \)) satisfies \( s' \leq s \). Notice now that \( I' : (y_1) = I' \) (again since \( I \) is radical) and so \( y_1 \) is not a zero divisor on \( T/I' \).

Since
\[ I' = I : (y_1) \subseteq K : (y_1) = (K') = (y_1 a_2 - 1, y_2 a_2, \ldots, y_n a_n) \]
we can apply the first part of the argument to \( I' \subseteq K' \) (we are using that \( a_1 \geq 2 \) in this case) and so we obtain that
\[ s' \geq \prod_{i=2}^n a_i \]
and that completes the proof of the Theorem. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.2.** We notice that the hypothesis of \( I \) being radical is necessary. In fact, \( I = (y_1 a_1, \ldots, y_n a_n - 1) \subset K \) and \( I \) is a one dimensional saturated ideal of multiplicity \( \prod_2 a_i \). In particular, if \( a_1 < n \) the multiplicity of \( I \) is less than \( \prod_2 a_i \).

We now provide some applications of Theorem 3.1.

### 3.1. Rank of monomials

We first deal with the sum of powers decomposition of monomials.

**Corollary 3.3.** For integers \( m > 1 \) and \( 1 \leq b_1 \leq \ldots \leq b_m \) the monomial
\[ x_1^{b_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_m^{b_m} \]
is the sum of \( \prod_{i=2}^m (b_i + 1) \) power of linear forms and no fewer.

**Proof.** Using Remark 2.4 it is enough to consider the case \( n = m \). So, we can assume our monomial is \( M = x_1^{b_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_m^{b_m} \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) and we set \( \sigma = \prod_{i=2}^m (b_i + 1) \). Applying the Apolarity Lemma to \( M \) we find that the perp ideal of \( M \) is
\[ K = (y_1^{b_1 + 1}, \ldots, y_n^{b_n + 1}). \]

Let \( I \subset K \) be an ideal of \( s \) distinct points, i.e. a one dimensional radical ideal in \( K \) of multiplicity \( s \). Applying Theorem 3.1 we get \( s \geq \sigma \) and hence \( M \) cannot be the sum of fewer than \( \sigma \) powers of linear forms.

It remains to show that \( M \) is the sum of \( \sigma \) powers of linear forms, i.e. we need to find an ideal of \( \sigma \) distinct points inside \( K \). But it is easy to verify that the ideal \( (y_2^{b_2 + 1} - y_1^{b_2 + 1}, y_3^{b_3 + 1} - y_1^{b_3 + 1}, \ldots, y_n^{b_n + 1} - y_1^{b_n + 1}) \) is such an ideal. \( \square \)
It is easy to use the previous result to compute the rank of a generalized version of monomials.

**Corollary 3.4.** For integers $1 \leq b_1 \leq \ldots \leq b_m$ and for linearly independent linear forms $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ consider the form
\[ F = L_1^{b_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot L_n^{b_n}. \]
Then
\[ \text{rk}(F) = \prod_{i=2}^n (b_i + 1). \]

### 3.2. On the rank of the sum of relatively coprime monomials.

It is possible to use Theorem 3.1 to give bounds on the rank of forms more general than monomials. For example, we have the following

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $F$ be a form of degree $d$ which can be written
\[ F = M_1 + \ldots + M_r, \]
where the $M_i$ are monomials of degree $d$ such that $\text{GCD}(M_i, M_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Then one has
\[ \text{rk}(M_i) \leq \text{rk}(F) \]
for $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

Moreover, if we let $M = \prod_{i=1}^r M_i$, then
\[ \text{rk}(F) \leq \text{rk}(M). \]

**Proof.** Clearly $F^\perp \supseteq M_1^\perp \cap \ldots \cap M_r^\perp$. Given $\partial \in F^\perp$, we notice that the non-zero monomial of the form $\partial \circ M_i$ are linearly independent as they are pairwise coprime. Hence we have
\[ F^\perp = M_1^\perp \cap \ldots \cap M_r^\perp. \]
In particular, $F^\perp \subseteq M_i^\perp$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ and then $\text{rk}(M_i) \leq \text{rk}(F)$.

It is straightforward to notice that $M^\perp \subseteq F^\perp$ and thus $\text{rk}(F) \leq \text{rk}(M)$. That completes the proof.

### 3.3. On the rank of the generic form.

It is well known, see [AH95], that for the generic degree $d$ form in $n + 1$ variables $F$ one has
\[ \text{rk}(F) = \left\lceil \frac{(d+n)}{n+1} \right\rceil. \]
However, the rank for a given specific form can be bigger or smaller than that number. Moreover, it is trivial to show that every form of degree $d$ is a sum of \( \binom{d+n}{d} \) $d$th powers of linear forms. But, in general, it is not known how big the rank of a degree $d$ form can be.

Using the monomials we can try to produce explicit examples of forms having rank bigger than that of the generic form. We give a complete description of the situation for the case of three variables.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let $n = 3$ and $d > 2$ be an integer. Then
\[ \max \{ \text{rk}(M) : M \in S_d \text{ is a monomial} \} = \begin{cases} (\frac{d+1}{2})^2 & \text{d is odd} \\ \frac{d}{2} (\frac{d}{2} + 1) & \text{d is even} \end{cases} \]
and this number is asymptotically $\frac{3}{2}$ of the rank of the generic degree $d$ form in three variables.

**Proof.** We consider monomials $x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}x_3^{b_3}$ with the conditions $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3$, 

$$b_1 + b_2 + b_3 = d$$

and we want to maximize the function $f(b_2, b_3) = (b_2 + 1)(b_3 + 1)$. Considering $b_1$ as a parameter we are reduced to an optimization problem in the plane where the constraint is given by a segment and the target function is the branch of an hyperbola. For any given $b_1$, it is easy to see that the maximum is achieved when $b_2$ and $b_3$ are as close as possible to $\frac{d-b_1}{2}$. Also, when $b_1 = 1$ we get the maximal possible value. In conclusion $\text{rk}(M)$ is maximal for the monomial $M = x_1^{\frac{d-b_1}{2}}x_2^{\frac{d-b_1}{2}}x_3^{\frac{d-b_1}{2}}$ (d odd) or $M = x_1^{\frac{d-b_1}{2}}x_2^{\frac{d-b_1}{2}-1}$ (d even).

Writing $d = 6p + q$, with $0 \leq q \leq 5$, and computing one easily sees that the rank of the generic forms is asymptotically $6p^2$. While the maximal rank of a degree $d$ monomial is asymptotically $9p^2$ and the conclusion follows.

□

**Remark 3.7.** For $n = 3$, we compare the behavior of the generic form and of the biggest rank monomials in the following table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>$\text{rk}(\text{generic degree } d\text{ form})$</th>
<th>$\text{max}_M\text{rk}(M)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4. Sum of powers decomposition for monomials.

Since we now know the rank of any given monomial $M$, we can try to give a description of one of its sum of powers decompositions.

**Corollary 3.8.** For integers $1 \leq b_1 \leq \ldots \leq b_n$, consider the monomial 

$$M = x_1^{b_1}\ldots x_n^{b_n}.$$ 

Then 

$$M = \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(M)} [\gamma_j (x_1 + \epsilon_j(2)x_2 + \ldots + \epsilon_j(n)x_n)]^d$$

where $\epsilon_1(i), \ldots, \epsilon_{\text{rk}(M)}(i)$ are the $(b_i + 1)$-th roots of 1, each repeated $\Pi_{j\neq i}(b_i + 1)$ times, and the $\gamma_j$ are scalars.

**Proof.** Another consequence of [IK99 Lemma 1.15] allows one to write a form as a sum of powers of linear forms. If $I \subset M^\perp$ is an ideal of $s$ points, then 

$$M = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \gamma_j (\alpha_j(1)x_1 + \alpha_j(2)x_2 + \ldots + \alpha_j(n)x_n)^d$$

where the $\gamma_j$ are scalars and $[\alpha_1: \ldots: \alpha_n]$ are the coordinates of the points having defining ideal $I$. Given $M$ we can choose the following ideal of points 

$$I = (y_2^{b_2+1} - y_1^{b_2+1}, y_3^{b_3+1} - y_1^{b_3+1}, \ldots, y_n^{b_n+1} - y_1^{b_n+1}).$$
It is straightforward to see that the points defined by $I$ have coordinates 

$$[1 : \epsilon(2) : \ldots : \epsilon(n)]$$

where $\epsilon(i)$ is a $(b_i + 1)$-th root of 1. Taking all possible combinations of the roots of 1 we get the desired $\Pi_{i=2}^{n}(b_i + 1)$ points and the result follows.

To find an explicit decomposition for a given monomial is then enough to solve a linear system of equation to determine the $\gamma_j$. For example, in the very simple case of $M = x_0 x_1 x_2$, we only deal with square roots of 1 and we get:

$$x_0 x_1 x_2 = \frac{1}{24} (x_0 + x_1 + x_2)^3 - \frac{1}{24} (x_0 - x_1 - x_2)^3 + \frac{1}{24} (x_0 - x_1 + x_2)^3.$$

3.5. The variety of reducible forms. Finally we give an application to the study of the variety of forms which factor in a prescribed way. These varieties were first introduced by Mammana in [Mam54]. They were also studied in some detail in [CCG08] and some particular examples considered in [AB11].

More precisely, given integers $d$ and $n$ we consider a partition $\lambda \vdash d$, $\lambda = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_r)$ and define the variety

$$X_\lambda = \{ [F_{d_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot F_{d_r}] : F_{d_i} \in S_{d_i} \}$$

parameterizing forms of degree $d$ in $n$ variables factoring as a product of forms of degree $d_i$. Let $V_{n,d}$ denote the Veronese variety parameterizing $d$-th power of linear forms in $n$ variables. We use $\sigma_r(V_{n,d})$ to denote the closure of the set of points on secant $P^{r-1}$’s to $V_{n,d}$.

**Corollary 3.9.** For integers $n > 1$ and $1 \leq d_1 \leq \ldots \leq d_n$ we have

$$X_\lambda \subset \sigma_r(V_{n,d}),$$

for $r = \prod_{i=2}^{n}(d_i + 1)$

**Proof.** It is clear that the orbit of the monomial $x_1^{d_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^{d_n}$ under the action of $GL(n)$ is dense in $X_\lambda$. Hence the conclusion follows.
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