



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



## The strict Waring problem for polynomial rings

Luis H. Gallardo<sup>a,\*</sup>, Leonid N. Vaserstein<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Brest, 6, Avenue Le Gorgeu, C.S. 93837, 29238 Brest Cedex 3, France<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 21 July 2006

Available online 1 October 2008

Communicated by David Goss

## MSC:

11T55

11P05

11D85

## Keywords:

Waring's problem

Polynomial rings

Finite fields

## ABSTRACT

We prove among several results that under mild conditions any polynomial in  $F_q[t]$  is a strict sum of  $k^4$   $k$ th powers improving on an exponential ( $k^2 2^{k+1}$ ) bound of Car–Effinger–Hayes.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 0. Introduction

For any ring  $A$  and any integer  $k \geq 1$ , let  $A_k \subset A$  be the set of all sums of  $k$ th powers in  $A$ . For any  $a \in A_k$ , let  $w_k(a, A)$  be the least  $s$  such that  $a$  is the sum of  $s$   $k$ th powers. Let  $w_k(A)$  be the supremum of  $w_k(a)$  where  $a$  ranges over  $A_k$  (possibly,  $w_k(A) = \infty$ ).

If  $pA = 0$  for a prime number  $p$ , then  $w_k(A) = w_{pk}(A)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Clearly,  $A_k$  is closed under addition and multiplication. When  $a \in A_k$  is a unit in  $A$ , then  $1/u \in A_k$ .

For any finite field  $F$  of  $q$  elements, it is known that

- (1)  $w_2(F) = 1$  when  $q$  is even and  $w_2(F) = 2$  when  $q$  is odd (obvious);
- (2)  $w_k(F) = 1$  when  $\gcd(k, q-1) = 1$  (obvious);
- (3)  $w_k(F) \leq \gcd(k, q-1) \leq k$  for any  $k$  and  $q$  (Tornheim [12]);
- (4)  $w_k(F) \leq 2$  for any  $k$  when  $q \geq k^4$  (Weil [18, p. 502]);
- (5)  $w_k(F) = k$  when  $q = k+1$  is a prime number (obvious).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [luis.gallardo@univ-brest.fr](mailto:luis.gallardo@univ-brest.fr) (L.H. Gallardo), [vstein@math.psu.edu](mailto:vstein@math.psu.edu) (L.N. Vaserstein).

For the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ , It is known that

- (6)  $w_2(\mathbb{Z}) = 4$  for the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  (Gauss, Lagrange);
- (7)  $w_k(\mathbb{Z}) < \infty$  for all  $k$  (Hilbert);
- (8)  $w_k(\mathbb{Z}) \leq k(3 \ln(k) + 4.7)$  for any odd  $k$  where  $\ln$  means the natural logarithm; better bounds are known for some  $k$  (Wooley [19]).

Of special interest in this paper is the ring  $F[t]$  of polynomials in one variable  $t$  with coefficients in a finite field  $F$  of  $q$  elements. For this ring, it is known that

- (9)  $w_k(F[t]) < \infty$  for any  $k, q$  (Paley [10]);
- (10)  $w_k(F[x]) \leq 3k^2(k-1)/4 + k + 1$  for any  $k, q$  (Vaserstein [13, Theorem 5]);
- (11)  $w_k(F[t]) \leq k(k+1)/2$  for any  $k$  and  $q \geq k^2 - k$ ;  $w_k(F[t]) \leq 2k - 1$  for any  $k$  when  $q \geq k^4$  (Vaserstein [13, Theorem 3(d)]);
- (12)  $w_k(F[t]) \leq 3k/2$  for any  $k$  when  $q \geq R(k)$  (Vaserstein [15, Theorem 1(iii)]);
- (13)  $w_2(F[t]) = 1$  when  $q$  is even;  $w_2(F[t]) = 2$  when  $q$  is odd and  $-1$  is a square in  $F$ ;  $w_2(F[t]) = 3$  when  $q$  is odd and  $-1$  is not a square in  $F$ ;
- (14)  $w_3(F[t]) = 1$  when  $q$  is a power of 3;  $3 \leq w_3(F[t]) \leq 4$  when  $q$  is not a power of 3 (Vaserstein [15, Theorem 3]);  $w_3(F[t]) = 3$  when  $q$  is not a power of 3 and  $q \neq 2, 4, 16$  (Vaserstein [14]).

Carlitz suggested to consider the problem of representation of a polynomial  $a \in F[t]$  as a *strict sum*

$$a = x_1^k + \cdots + x_s^k$$

of  $k$ th powers in  $F[t]$  where “strict” means that  $\deg(x_i)^k \leq \deg(a) + k - 1$ .

A reason for this restriction on the degrees is that this allows us to use the circle method which worked well for the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The method gives a lower bound for the number of representations of large integers as sums of positive  $k$ th powers (showing that the number is nonzero for sufficiently many  $k$ th powers), and its analogue for  $F[t]$  gives a lower bound for the number of strict representations of large degree polynomials. Another reason is that while no example with  $w_k(A) > \max(3, w_k(F))$  is known, it could be easier to find lower bounds for the number of  $k$ th powers needed in the case of strict sums.

Here are some known results about strict sums of  $k$ th powers in  $F[t]$  where  $F$  is a finite field of  $q$  elements:

- (15) when  $k = 2$  and  $q$  is odd, every polynomial in  $F[t]$  is the strict sum of four squares (Cohen [5]);
- (16) when  $k = 2$ , and  $q$  is odd, every polynomial in  $F[t]$ , except two polynomials of degree 3 and six polynomials of degree 4 in the case  $q = 3$ , is the strict sum of three squares (Serre (Effinger and Hayes [6, Theorem 1.14], Webb [17]));
- (17) when  $k = 3$ , every strict sum of cubes in  $F[t]$  is the strict sum of 9 cubes (Car and Gallardo [4], Gallardo [8]); when  $q = 13$  or 16, the number 9 can be improved to 8;  $q \neq 2, 4, 7, 13, 16$ , every polynomial is the strict sum of 7 cubes;
- (18) when  $k = 4$ ,  $\gcd(q, 6) = 1$ , and  $q \neq 5, 13, 17, 25, 29$ , every polynomial in  $F[t]$  is the strict sum of 16 biquadrates (Gallardo [7]);
- (19) for any  $k$  there is an integer  $s(k)$  such that when  $p = \text{char}(F) > k$  every polynomial  $a \in F[t]$  is a strict sum of at most  $s(k)$   $k$ th powers (Car [2], Webb [16], Kubota [9], Effinger and Hayes [6, Theorem 1.9]);
- (20) when  $p = \text{char}(F) > k$  and the degree of a polynomial  $a \in F[t]$  is sufficiently large, then  $a$  is a strict sum of at most  $k^2 2^{k+1}$   $k$ th powers (Car [3], Effinger and Hayes [6]).

See Effinger and Hayes [6] for more results.

We write  $q = p^\alpha k'$  with  $\gcd(k', p) = 1$  where  $p = \text{char}(F)$  as above. In this paper for the ring  $A = F[t]$  we prove:

- (21) for any  $k, q$ , any polynomial  $a$  in  $A_k$  which is a strict sum of  $k$ th powers is a strict sum of at most  $k^6$   $k$ -th powers;
- (22) for  $q > (k - 1)^2$  the bound  $k^6$  can be improved to  $k^4$ ;
- (23) for large degree (depending on  $k$ ) this bound  $k^6$  can be improved to  $k^3/2$ ;
- (24) for large  $\text{deg}(a)$  and  $q > (k - 1)^2$  this bound  $k^6$  can be improved to

$$k(\ln(k + 1) + 2) + 1.$$

In particular, we can replace the exponential in  $k$  bound  $k^2 2^{k+1}$  in (20) by a polynomial bound  $k^4$ , and our proof is much shorter. Also we extended (20) to the case  $p \leq k$ .

In fact in this paper, we replace the finite field  $F$  to be any field  $F$  such that  $-1$  is a sum of  $k$ th powers. This includes any field  $F$  of finite characteristic. Also the condition holds when  $k$  is odd. This condition  $-1 \in F_k$  is equivalent to the condition that  $F_k$  is a subring (or a subfield) of  $F$ . Hilbert’s proof of (6) implies that  $-1 \in F_k$  for all  $k$  provided that  $-1 \in F_2$ .

We obtain better bounds when the nonzero  $k$ th powers form a subgroup of finite index in the multiplicative group of  $F$ . In the case of a finite field  $F_q$ , the index is  $\text{gcd}(k, q - 1)$  (cf. (3)).

**1. Statement of main results**

For the rest of the paper,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $F$  is a field such that  $w_k(-1, F) < \infty$ , i.e.,  $-1 \in F_k$  (e.g.,  $p = \text{char}(F) \neq 0$  or  $k$  is odd), and  $A = F[t]$ .

If  $\text{char}(F) = p \neq 0$  and  $\text{gcd}(p, k) \neq 1$ , we can write  $k = k' p^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\text{gcd}(k', p) = 1$ . Then  $F_{p^\alpha}$  consists of  $p^\alpha$ -powers in  $F$ ,  $F_k = (F_{p^\alpha})_{k'} = (F_{k'})_{p^\alpha}$ ,  $A_k$  consists of  $p^\alpha$ -powers of polynomials in  $A_{k'}$ ,  $w_k(F) = w_{k'}(A)$ ,  $w_k(A) = w_{k'}A$ , the strict sums of  $k$ th powers in  $A$  are the  $p^\alpha$ th powers of strict  $k'$ -powers in  $A$ . This justifies imposing the condition  $kF \neq 0$  (e.g.  $k \neq 0$  in  $F$ ).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $-1 \in F_k$  and  $kF \neq 0$ . Then:*

- (i) when  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ ,  $w_k(F) \leq w_k(A) \leq k^2(k - 1)(w_k(-1, F) + 1)/4$ ;
- (ii) when  $\text{char}(F) \neq 0$ ,  $w_k(F) \leq k^2(k - 1)/2$  and

$$w_k(A) \leq k + 1 + k^2(k - 1)/2;$$

- (iii) when  $\text{char}(F) = p \neq 0$ ,  $w_k(F) \leq p(p - 1)^2 k(\log_p(k) + 3)$  and

$$w_k(A) \leq k + 1 + p(p - 1)^2 k(\log_p(k) + 3);$$

- (iv) every polynomial in  $A$  which is a strict sum of  $k$ th powers is the strict sum of at most  $k^6$   $k$ th powers;
- (v) every polynomial in  $A_k$  of degree  $\geq k^5 - 1$  is the strict sum of at most  $k^3/2$   $k$ th powers.

Let  $F^*$  denote the multiplicative group of the field  $F$  and  $F^{*k}$  the subgroup of the  $k$ th powers.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $-1 \in F_k$  and  $kF \neq 0$ . Assume that  $F^{*k} \cap F_k$  has a finite index  $K$  in  $(F_k)^*$ . Then:*

- (i)  $w_k(F) \leq K$ .

Moreover, if  $F$  is infinite, then:

- (ii)  $F_k = F$  and  $w_k(F) \leq 1 + w_k(-1, F)$ ;
- (iii)  $A_k = A$  and  $w_k(A) \leq k(K + 1)/2$ .

Notice that Theorem 1.2(i) implies (3). Since  $w_k(F) \leq k$ , we obtain

**Corollary 1.3.** *If  $F$  is a field of  $q$  elements and  $\text{card}(F_k) = q_0$ , then*

- (i)  $w_k(F) \leq K = \gcd(k, q - 1)(q_0 - 1)/(q - 1) \leq \gcd(k, q - 1) \leq k$ ;
- (ii) every polynomial in  $F[t]$  which is a strict sum of  $k$ th powers is a strict sum of at most  $(k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1)w_k(F)$   $k$ th powers.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $-1 \in F_k$  and  $kF \neq 0$ . Assume that  $\text{card}(F_k) \geq k$ . Then:*

- (i)  $w_k(A) \leq w_k(F)(k - 1) + 1$ ;
- (ii) every polynomial  $a \in A = F[t]$  of degree  $D \geq k^4 - k^2 - k + 1$  is the strict sum of at most  $k(w_k(F) + \ln(k + 1)) + 1$   $k$ th powers;
- (iii) every polynomial  $a \in A = F[t]$  of degree  $D \geq k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1$  is the strict sum of at most  $k(w_k(F) + 3 \ln(k)) + 2$   $k$ th powers;
- (iv) every polynomial  $a \in A$  which is the strict sum of  $k$ th powers is the strict sum of  $(k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1)w_k(F)$   $k$ th powers.

Using (4) and the fact that  $\text{card}(F_k) \geq 1 + (q - 1)/k$ , we obtain (24) as a particular case of Theorem 1.4.

For large finite  $F$ , Theorem 1.4 can be improved by (4).

**Corollary 1.5.** *Assume that  $\text{char}(F) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{card}(F) \geq k^4$ , and  $F$  is algebraic over its prime subfield  $F_0$ . Then:*

- (i)  $w_k(F) \leq 2$ ;
- (ii) every polynomial  $a \in A = F[t]$  of degree  $D \geq k^4 - k^2 - k + 1$  is the strict sum of at most  $(\ln(k + 1) + 2)k + 1$   $k$ th powers;
- (iii) every polynomial  $a \in A = F[t]$  of degree  $D \geq k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1$  is the strict sum of at most  $(3 \ln(k) + 2)k + 2$   $k$ th powers;
- (iv) every polynomial  $a \in A$  which is the strict sum of  $k$ th powers is the strict sum of  $2(k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1)$   $k$ th powers.

The rest of the paper is about proving Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.4.

When  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  or  $p = \text{char}(F) > k$  (and  $w_k(F) < \infty$ ), every polynomial in  $F[t]$  is a strict sum of  $k$ th powers (Webb [16]) so the theorems can be simplified.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

(i) Set  $H = F^{*k} \cap F_k$ . If  $a \in A_k$  and  $w_k(a) > 1$ , then dropping a  $k$ th power in a representation of  $a$  as the sum of  $w_k(a, A)$   $k$ th powers, we find an element  $b \in A_k$  with  $w_k(b, a) = w_k(a, A) - 1$ . Thus, the function  $w_k$  on  $F_k$  takes all values between 0 and  $w_k(A)$ . Since  $w_k(a, F)$  is constant on each coset  $aH$ ,  $w_k(F) \leq K$ . So the first part of Theorem 1.2(i) is proved.

When  $F$  is infinite, every element of  $F$  has the form  $a^k - b^k$  (Bergelson and Shapiro [1]), so (using the condition  $-1 \in F$ )  $F_k = F$  and  $w_k(F) \leq 1 + w_k(-1, F)$ . So Theorem 1.2(i) is proved.

(ii) The fact that  $w_k$  takes on  $(F_k)^*$  all values between 1 and  $w_k(A)$  implies that increasing if necessary the values, we can make them  $1, 2, \dots, K$  which gives the following result about an average value of  $w_k$  on nonzero elements of  $F$ :

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\{f_1, \dots, f_K\}$  be the cosets  $(F_k)^*/(F_k \cap F^{*k})$ . Then for any nonzero  $a \in F_k$ ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^K w_k(af_i, F) \leq K(K + 1)/2.$$

Assume now that  $F$  is infinite.

(ii) By Bergelson and Shapiro [1], every  $x \in F$  has the form  $x_1^k - x_2^k$ . Writing  $-1$  as the sum of  $w_k(-1, F)$   $k$ th powers, we see that every  $x \in F$  is the sum of  $1 + w_k(-1, F)$   $k$ th powers.

(iii) We pick distinct  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F$  and, using Vandermonde's determinants, write

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (t + a_i)^k / b_i = kt + c_0 \tag{1}$$

with  $b_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)$ . By (i), we can write each  $1/b_i$  as the sum of  $K$   $k$ th powers. Moreover, by Proposition 2.1, multiplying (1) by a nonzero element  $f$  of  $F$ , we can write each  $1/b_i$  as a sum of  $k$ th powers, with the total number of  $k$ th powers is at most  $k(K + 1)/2$ . So  $w_k(ft, A) \leq k(K + 1)/2$ . Since  $ft$  here can be replaced by any  $a \in A = F[t]$ , we obtain that  $w_k(a) \leq k(K + 1)/2$  for every  $a \in A$ , i.e.,  $w_k(A) \leq k(K + 1)/2$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We assume in this section that  $k \neq 0$  in  $F$  and that  $-1 \in A_k$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that  $k \neq 0$  in  $F$ . Let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer,  $a$  be a monic polynomial in  $F[t]$  of degree  $dk$ . Then there is a polynomial  $x \in F[t]$  of degree  $d$  such that  $\deg(a - x^k) \leq dk - d - 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x = \sum_{i=0}^d x_i t^i$  with unknown coefficients  $x_i \in F$ . We take  $x_d = 1$  so  $\deg(a - x^k) \leq kd - 1$ . Then, to find  $x_{d-1}$  such that  $\deg(a - x^k) \leq kd - 2$ , we have a linear equation of the form

$$kx_{d-1} = \text{a given element of } F.$$

Similarly we find  $x_{d-2}, \dots, x_0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** Under the conditions of Lemma 3.1, let  $d'$  be an integer such that  $dk - d \leq d'k \leq dk - 1$ . Then there is  $c \in F[t]$  such that  $a - c^k$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $d'k$ .

**Proof.** Apply Lemma 3.1 to  $a - t^{d'k}$ .  $\square$

For any rational number  $x$ , we denote by  $\lceil x \rceil$  the least integer  $s$  satisfying  $x \leq s$ . For any integer  $d \geq 1$ , we define  $f(d) = \lceil d(k - 1)/k \rceil$ . Inductively, we define,  $f^s(d) = f(f^{s-1}(d))$ . Note that  $f(d) < d$  for  $d \geq k$  and  $f(d) = d$  when  $d \leq k - 1$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** For any integers  $d, s \geq 1$

$$f^s(d) \leq d((k - 1)/k)^s + (k - 1)(1 + (k - 1)/k + \dots + ((k - 1)/k)^{s-1})/k.$$

**Proof.** It is easy by induction on  $s$  using that

$$f(d) = \lceil d(k - 1)/k \rceil \leq d(k - 1)/k + (k - 1)/k. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.4.** For any integers  $d, s \geq 1$

$$f^s(d) < d/e^{s/k} + k - 1.$$

**Proof.** It is well known that  $(k - 1)/k \leq e^{-1/k}$  (Pólya and Szegő [11, Problem 171]) and that

$$1 + (k - 1)/k + ((k - 1)/k)^2 + ((k - 1)/k)^3 + \dots = k. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $a \in F[t]$  be a polynomial of degree  $D \geq k^4 - k^2 - k + 1$ . Set  $d = \lceil D/k \rceil$ . Then there are  $n = \lceil k \ln(k + 1) \rceil + w_k(F)$  polynomials  $x_i \in F[t]$  of degree  $\leq d$  each such that  $\deg(a - \sum a_i^k) < d$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $d \geq k(k^2 - 1)$ . Let  $a_{dk}$  be the degree of the  $dk$  coefficient in  $a$  (it is 0 if  $D < dk$ .) We write  $a_{dk} - 1$  as the sum of  $m = w_k(F)$   $k$ th powers  $c_i^k$  in  $F$  and set  $x_i = c_i t^d$  for  $i \leq m$ . Then  $b = a - \sum x_i^k$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $dk$ .

We set  $s = \lceil k \ln(k + 1) \rceil$  and apply  $s$  times Lemma 3.1. So there are  $s$  polynomials  $x_i$  ( $m + 1 = w_k(F) + 1 \leq i \leq m + s = n$ ) such that  $\deg(x_i) \leq d$  and  $\deg(b - \sum_{m+1}^n x_i^k) \leq kf^s(d)$ .

By Corollary 3.4,

$$f^s(d) < d/e^{s/k} + k - 1 \leq d/(k + 1) + k - 1 \leq d/k.$$

since  $d \geq k(k^2 - 1)$ . So

$$\deg\left(a - \sum_1^n x_i^k\right) \leq kf^s(dk) = \deg\left(b - \sum_{m+1}^n x_i^k\right) \leq kf^s(d) < d. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $c_i \in F_k$  be  $k$  distinct elements. Then there are  $k + 1$  nonzero elements  $d_i \in F_k$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k d_i (d_0 t + a_i)^k = t \tag{2}$$

and  $d_1 = 1$ , where  $t$  is an indeterminate.

**Proof.** We can take  $d_i$  to be Vandermonde’s determinants and obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (t + c_i)^k = \text{a polynomial of degree } 1.$$

After this, we can divide the last equality by  $d_1$  and make an affine change of variables. (See Vaserstein [15].)  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** Every polynomial  $b \in A$  is the sum of at most  $1 + (k - 1)w_k(F)$   $k$ -powers  $y_i^k$  with  $\deg(y_i) = \deg(b)$ .

**Proof.** Replace  $t$  in (2) by  $b$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4(i).** See Proposition 3.8.  $\square$

**Remark.** In fact, Lemma 3.6 implies that  $A'_k = A'$  and  $w_k(A') \leq w_k(F[t])$  for every  $F$ -algebra  $A'$  assuming that  $-1 \in F_k$  and  $\text{card}(F_k) \geq k$ . Indeed, we can replace  $t$  in Lemma 3.6 by an arbitrary element of any  $F$ -algebra  $A'$  (assuming the condition of Lemma 3.6) and every  $d_i$  is a sum of  $k$ th powers (assuming that  $-1 \in F_k$ ).

**Proof of Theorem 1.4(ii).** Combine Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.7.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** Let  $a \in F[t]$  be a polynomial of degree  $D \geq k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1$ . Set  $d = \lceil D/k \rceil$ . Then there are  $n = \lceil 3k \ln(k) \rceil + w_k(F)$  polynomials  $x_i \in F[t]$  of degree  $\leq d$  each such that  $\deg(a - \sum a_i^k) < d$ .

**Proof.** In view of Proposition 3.5 (using that  $3 \ln(k) > \ln(k + 1)$ ) we can assume that  $D < k^4$ , hence  $d \leq k^3$ .

We set  $s = \lceil 3k \ln(k) \rceil$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we find  $n = w_k(F) + s$  polynomials  $x_i \in A$  with  $\deg(x_i) \leq d$  such that  $b = a - \sum_1^n x_i^k$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $f^s(d)k$  and

$$f^s(d) < d/e^{s/k} + k - 1 \leq d/k^3 + k - 1 < k$$

hence  $f^s(d) = k - 1$ . Now we use Lemma 3.1 and find  $x_{n+1} \in A$  of degree  $k - 1$  such that  $\deg(b - x_{n+1}^k) \leq k(k - 2) \leq d/k$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4(iii).** Combine Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 3.7.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4(iv).** By (ii), we can assume that  $\deg(a) \leq k^3 - 2k^2 - k$ . Consider the  $(F_k)$ -vector space spanned by  $x^k$  with  $\deg(x) \leq k^2 - 2k - 1$ . Its dimension over  $F_k$  is at most  $k^3 - 2k^2 - k + 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** When the  $k$ th powers in the multiplicative group of  $F$  have a finite index  $K$  (e.g.,  $\text{card}(F) = g < \infty$  in which case  $K = \gcd(k, g - 1)$ ) then  $w_k(F) \leq K$  and as in Vaserstein [13], we can replace  $1 + (k - 1)w_k(F)$  in Corollary 3.7 by  $k(K + 1)/2$ .

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.1**

(i) By Vaserstein [13, Section 2] we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha(k)} (t + a_i)^k - (t + b_i)^k = kct + c_0,$$

with  $a_i, b_i, c, c_0 \in F, c \neq 0, \alpha(k) = k - 1$  for  $k \leq 11, \alpha(k) \leq k(k - 1) \ln(k)$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . Note that  $2\alpha(k) \leq k^2(k - 1)/2$  for all  $k \geq 2$ .

Therefore,

$$w_k(kct + c_0, A) \leq \alpha(x)(w_k(-1, F) + 1) \leq k^2(k - 1)(w_k(-1, F) + 1)/4$$

hence

$$w_k(A) \leq k^2(k - 1)(w_k(-1, F) + 1)/4$$

for any  $F$ -algebra  $A$  including  $A = F[t]$ .

(ii) We write  $k = \sum_{j=0}^l r_j p^j$  in base  $p$  with  $r_l \neq 0$ . By Theorem 1.4, we can assume that  $k > p$ , i.e.,  $l \geq 1$ . Here  $l = \lceil \log_p(k) \rceil$ .

Let  $F_0$  be the prime subfield of  $F$ , so  $F_0 = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . For every integer  $i \geq 0$  we write  $i = \sum d_j p^j$  in base  $p$  and define  $a_i = \sum d_j y^j \in F[y]$ . In particular,  $a_0 = 0$ . We have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (t + a_i)^k / b_i = kt + c_0 \tag{3}$$

where

$$b_i = \prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j) \in F[y],$$

and  $c_0 \in F[y]$ .

We set  $h = \prod_{i=1}^{p^{l(r_i+1)-1}} a_i$ . Then  $h = \text{lcm}(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ . Moreover,

$$h/b_i = \prod_{j=k}^{p^{l(r_i+1)-1}} (a_i - a_j).$$

So  $\text{deg}(h/b_i) \leq l(k-2)$ . The total number of coefficients in all  $b_0, \dots, b_{k-1}$  is at most  $k(k-2)l + k \leq k(k-1)l$ . Now we replace  $y$  in (3) by  $y^k$  and multiply it by a nonzero element  $f \in F_0$ :

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (t + a_i)^k f h' / b'_i = k f h' t + h' f c_0. \tag{4}$$

Since the mean of  $w_k(f d, F_0)$  for a nonzero  $d \in F_0$  where  $f$  ranges over  $F_0^*$  is at most  $p/2$ , we obtain that

$$w_k(f k h' t, F[t, y]) \leq k(k-1)l p/2 \leq k(k-1)^2/2 < k^2(k-1)/2$$

for some  $f \in F^*$ .

When  $F$  is infinite,  $k f h' t + h' f c_0$  can be specialized to an arbitrary element of  $F$ , so  $w_k(F) < k^2(k-1)/2$  (recall that we consider the case  $k > p$ ; when  $k = 2 < p$ ,  $w_k(F)$  could be 2). When  $F$  is finite,  $w_k(F) \leq k \leq k^2(k-1)/2$ .

Using that  $w_k(A/h'A) \leq k+1$  (Vaserstein [13, Theorem 5]), we obtain that  $w_k(A) \leq k + k^2(k-1)/2$  for any commutative  $F$ -algebra  $A$  of transcendence degree 1 including  $A = F[t]$ .

Replacing  $y, t$  in (4) by  $t$  and an arbitrary polynomial in  $F[t]$  and looking at the degrees, we obtain

**Corollary 4.1.** *Every polynomial  $a \in A$  of degree  $D \geq kl = k \lceil \log_p(k) \rceil$  is the sum of  $k^2(k-1)/2$   $k$ th powers of degree  $\leq Dk + k(k-2) \lceil \log_p(k) \rceil$  each.*

(iii) We follow the proof of Theorem 3(c) in Vaserstein [13]. Set  $K = \text{gcd}(k, p-1)$ . Find an integer  $c$  such that  $1 \leq c \leq p-1$  and  $kc \equiv K \pmod p$ . Set  $m(p-1)$  to be the sum of  $p$ -digits of  $kc(p-1)/K$ . Note that  $m$  is an integer and  $m < \log_p(kc(p-1)/K) + 1 < \log_p(k) + 3$ .

Let  $X(m)$  denote the set of all linear forms  $y = c_1 y_1 + \dots + c_m y_m$  in  $m$  variables  $y_i$  with coefficients  $c_i$  in the prime subfield  $F_0$ . Note that  $\text{card}(X(m)) = p^m < p(p-1)^2 k/K$ .

We have

$$\sum_{y \in X(m)} (x+y)^{kc(p-1)/K} y = (kc(p-1)/K) x Y(kc(p-1)/K, m) \tag{5}$$

where  $Y(s, m) = \sum_{y \in X(m)} y^s \neq 0$  (note that  $Y(s, m) = 0$  unless the sum of  $p$ -digits of  $s$  is divisible by  $p-1$  and is at least  $m(p-1)$ ).

If  $F$  is infinite, we can replace the variables  $y_i$  in (5) by  $a_i^k$  with  $a_i \in F$  such that the specialization of the polynomial  $Y(kc(p-1)/K, m)$  stays nonzero. Then the left-hand side of (5) becomes the sum of at most  $p^m m K$   $k$ th powers while the right-hand side represents an arbitrary element in any  $F$ -algebra  $A$ . In particular,

$$w_k(F) \leq w_k(F[t]) \leq p^m m K < (p(p-1)^2 k/K) (\log_p(k) + 3) K = p(p-1)^2 k (\log_p(k) + 3).$$

Assume now that  $F$  is finite. Then  $w_k(F) \leq k < p(p-1)^2 k (\log_p(k) + 3)$ . To bound  $w_k(A)$ , we replace the variables in (4) by  $a_i^k$  where  $a_i \in F[z]$  have degrees  $\leq \log_p(k) + 1$  and such that the specialization  $b_0$  of  $Y(kc(p-1)/K, m)$  stays nonzero. We used that the total degree of  $Y(kc(p-1)/K, m)$  is



$kc(p - 1)/K \leq k(p - 1)^2/K$  and this number is less than the number  $p^{\lceil \log_p(k+2) \rceil}/K$  of all  $a_i^k$  with  $a_i \in F[z]$  of degrees  $\leq \log_p(k) + 1$ . Thus, we obtain an identity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p^m} (x + b_i)^{kc(p-1)/K} b_i = (kc(p - 1)/K)xb_0 \tag{6}$$

with each  $b_i \in F[z]$ , of degree  $\leq k(\log_p(k) + 1)$  being the sum of  $mK$   $k$ th powers in  $F[z]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p^m$  and with  $b_0 \neq 0$  of degree  $\leq k^2(p - 1)^2(\log_p(k) + 1)$ .

Now it is clear that the sums of  $p^m mK$   $k$ th powers contain a nonzero ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . Using that  $w_k(A/I) \leq k + 1$ , we obtain that  $w_k(A) \leq k + 1 + p(p - 1)^2(\log_p(k) + 3)$ . Moreover, the bounds on the degrees of  $b_i$  in (6) give the following

**Corollary 4.2.** *Every polynomial  $a \in A_k$  of degree  $D \geq k(\log_p(k) + 1)$  is the sum of at most  $k + 1 + p(p - 1)^2(\log_p(k) + 3)$   $k$ th powers of degree  $\leq D(k(p - 1)^2 + 1)$  each.*

(v) By Theorem 1.4(ii), we can assume that  $\text{card}(F_k) < k$ , hence  $k > p = \text{char}(F)$ . Let  $a \in A$  and  $D = \text{deg}(a) \geq k^4 \log_p(k)$ . Set  $d = \lceil D/k \rceil$ . Then  $d \geq k^3 \log_p(k)$ . Let  $a_{dk}$  be the degree  $dk$  coefficient in  $a$  (it is 0 if  $D < dk$ .) We write  $a_{dk} - 1$  as the sum of  $m = w_k(F)$   $k$ th powers  $c_i^k$  in  $F$  and set  $x_i = c_i t^d$  for  $i \leq m$ . Then  $b = a - \sum x_i^k$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $dk$ .

We set  $s = \lceil k \ln(k + 1) \rceil$  and apply  $s$  times Lemma 3.1. So there are  $s$  polynomials  $x_i$  ( $m + 1 = w_k(F) + 1 \leq i \leq m + s = n$ ) such that  $\text{deg}(x_i) \leq d$  and  $\text{deg}(b - \sum_{m+1}^n x_i^k) \leq kf^s(d)$ .

By Corollary 3.4,

$$f^s(d) < d/e^{s/k} + k - 1 \leq d/(k + 1) + k - 1 \leq d/k.$$

since  $d \geq k^3 \log_p(k)$ . So

$$\text{deg} \left( a - \sum_1^n x_i^k \right) \leq kf^s(dk) = \text{deg} \left( b - \sum_{m+1}^n x_i^k \right) \leq kf^s(d) < d.$$

(iv) By Theorem 1.4(iv), we can assume that  $\text{card}(F_k) < k$ , hence  $k > p = \text{char}(F)$ . Let  $a \in A$  be a strict sum of  $k$ th powers and  $D = \text{deg}(a)$ . We want to prove that  $a$  is the strict sum of  $k^5 \log_p(k)$   $k$ th powers.

By Theorem 1.1(v), we can assume that  $D \leq k^4 \log_p(k) - 1$ . Then we can write  $a$  as a linear combination of at most  $D \leq k^4 \log_p(k)$   $k$ th powers each of degree  $\leq D + k - 1$ . Writing every coefficient in  $F$  as the sum of  $k$   $k$ th powers, we obtain  $a$  as the strict sum of at most  $k^6$   $k$ th powers.

**References**

[1] V. Bergelson, D.B. Shapiro, Multiplicative subgroups of finite index in a ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (4) (1992) 885–896.  
 [2] M. Car, Le problème de Waring pour l'anneau des polynômes sur un corps fini, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B 273 (1971) A141–A144.  
 [3] M. Car, Le problème de Waring pour l'anneau des polynômes sur un corps fini, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, 1972–1973, Univ. Bordeaux I, Talence, Exp. No. 6, 13 pp. Lab. Théorie des Nombres, Centre Nat. Recherche Sci., Talence, 1973.  
 [4] M. Car, L. Gallardo, Sums of cubes of polynomials, Acta Arith. 112 (1) (2004) 41–50.  
 [5] E. Cohen, Sums of an even number of squares in  $\text{GF}[p^n, x]$ , Duke Math. J. 14 (1947) 251–267.  
 [6] G.W. Effinger, D.R. Hayes, Additive Number Theory of Polynomials Over a Finite Field, Oxford Math. Monogr., Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, ISBN 0-19-853583-X, 1991, xvi+157 pp.  
 [7] L. Gallardo, Sums of biquadrates and cubes in  $F_q[t]$ , Rocky Mountain J. Math. 33 (3) (2003) 865–873.  
 [8] L. Gallardo, Waring's problem for cubes and squares over a finite field of even characteristic, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 12 (3) (2005) 349–362.  
 [9] R.M. Kubota, Waring's problem for  $F_q[x]$ , Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 117 (1974) 60.  
 [10] R.E.A.C. Paley, Theorems on polynomials in a Galois field, Q. J. Math. 4 (1933) 52–63.

- [11] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis, I. Series, Integral Calculus, Theory of Functions*, translated from the German by Dorothee Aeppli, reprint of the 1978 English translation, *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, ISBN 3-540-63640-4, 1998, xx+389 pp.
- [12] L. Tornheim, Sums of  $n$ -th powers in fields of prime characteristic, *Duke Math. J.* 4 (1938) 359–362.
- [13] L.N. Vaserstein, Waring's problem for algebras over fields, *J. Number Theory* 26 (1987) 286–298.
- [14] L.N. Vaserstein, Sums of cubes in polynomial rings, *Math. Comp.* 56 (1991) 349–357.
- [15] L.N. Vaserstein, Ramsey's theorem and the Waring's problem for algebras over fields, in: *Proc. of Workshop on the Arithmetic of Function Fields*, Ohio State Univ., 1991, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992, pp. 435–442.
- [16] W.A. Webb, Waring's problem in  $\text{GF}[q, x]$ , *Acta Arith.* 22 (1973) 207–220.
- [17] W.A. Webb, Numerical results for Waring's problem in  $\text{GF}[q, x]$ , *Math. Comp.* 27 (1973) 193–196.
- [18] A. Weil, Numbers of solutions of equations in finite fields, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 55 (1949) 497–508.
- [19] T.D. Wooley, Large improvements in Waring's problem, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 135 (1) (1992) 131–164.