

Algorithmic Dependent-Type Theory of Situated Information

Roussanka Loukanova

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Sweden
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Bulgaria

56th Linguistics Colloquium
<https://sites.google.com/view/lingcoll>

A New Approach to Situated Informations in Human Language

- Barwise [1] is the most influential, early work on Situation Theory and Situation Semantics with applications to computational semantics in grammar, e.g., HPSG
- Loukanova [3, 4] (2014–2019) initiated new prospects of Situation Theory based on
 - a new theory of the math notion of algorithm introduced by Moschovakis [5] (2006)
- Here, I shall present some of the new development of a **type-theory** of information
 - possibilities for integration of situated propositions with quantitative information, e.g., from
 - statistical approaches to data of human language
 - Machine Learning of human language

Primitive (basic) types of L_{gp}^{st} : a set of type constants

$$BTypes = \{ IND, REL, FUN, ARGR, LOC, POL, EVAL, PAR, \\ INFON, SIT, PROP, SET, TYPE, \models \} \quad (1)$$

- IND: for primitive and complex individuals
- REL: for primitive and complex relations
- FUN: for functions, primitive and complex
- ARGR: for primitive and complex argument roles
- LOC: for space-time locations
- POL: for numerical polarities, e.g., between 0 and 1
 (these are for degree of having a property or being in a relation,
 not for truth values, even when limited to 0 and 1)
- EVAL: for extra value for numerical assessments of verification
- PAR: for primitive and complex parameters
- INFON: for basic or complex information units
- SIT: for situations
- PROP: for basic or complex propositions
- TYPE: for basic and complex types
- \models is a designated type

- \models is a special type called “supports” (“holds”), e.g., used in the type of propositions that a situation s and an infon σ are of the type “supports”, i.e., “ s supports σ ”:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (s \models \sigma) & \text{(a proposition)} \\ s \models \sigma & \text{(a verified proposition)} \end{array}$$

- A class of primitive and complex types
 - Complex types are constructed at stages, e.g., as needed (not necessarily all of them)

Vocabulary and Syntax of $L_{\text{GP}}^{\text{st}}$

For all $\tau \in \text{Types}$:

- Typed constants

$$K_{\tau} = \{c_0^{\tau}, c_1^{\tau}, \dots, c_{k_{\tau}}^{\tau}, \dots\} \quad (3)$$

- Typed pure and recursion (memory) variables
 - **pure variables** (for λ -abstractions)

$$\text{PureV}_{\tau} = \text{PV}_{\tau} = \{v_0^{\tau}, v_1^{\tau}, \dots\}$$

- **recursion variables** (for memory “slots”)

$$\text{RecV}_{\tau} = \text{RV}_{\tau} = \{p_0^{\tau}, p_1^{\tau}, \dots\}$$

- Notations for typed constants, variables, and terms

$$A : \tau \iff A^{\tau} \in \text{Terms} \iff A \in \text{Terms}_{\tau} \quad (4)$$

- Complex terms of situated information are defined by structural induction — mutual recursion

$$ArgR(\text{read-to}) = \{\text{reader}^{T_{a_1}}, \text{readed}^{T_o}, \text{listener}^{T_{a_1}}\} \quad (5a)$$

$$T_{a_1} := \{\lambda(x) [(s_1 \models \ll \text{human}, \quad (6a)$$

$$\text{arg}^{\text{IND}} \mapsto x^{\text{IND}}, \quad (6b)$$

$$\text{loc}^{\text{LOC}} \mapsto l_d, \text{pol}^{\text{POL}} \mapsto 1 \gg, \quad (6c)$$

$$\text{eval}^{\text{EVAL}} \mapsto 40\%) \quad (6d)$$

$$\vee (s_1 \models \ll \text{device}, \quad (6e)$$

$$\text{arg}^{\text{IND}} \mapsto x^{\text{IND}}, \quad (6f)$$

$$\text{loc}^{\text{LOC}} \mapsto l_o, \text{pol}^{\text{POL}} \mapsto 1 \gg, \quad (6g)$$

$$\text{eval}^{\text{EVAL}} \mapsto 60\%)] \} \quad (6h)$$

$$T_o := \{\lambda(x) (s_o \models \ll \text{written}, \quad (7a)$$

$$\text{arg}^{\text{IND}} \mapsto x^{\text{IND}}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\text{loc}^{\text{LOC}} \mapsto l_o, \text{pol}^{\text{POL}} \mapsto 1 \gg, \quad (7c)$$

$$\text{eval}^{\text{EVAL}} \mapsto 70\%)\} \quad (7d)$$

Example (infons: specific or parametric)

- c_a reads c_b to c_c at the space-time location l

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \text{read-to, reader}^{T_{a1}} \mapsto c_a, \\ \text{readed}^{T_o} \mapsto c_b, \\ \text{listener}^{T_{a1}} \mapsto c_c, \\ \text{loc}^{\text{LOC}} \mapsto l; \\ \text{pol}^{\text{POL}} \mapsto 0.60 \gg \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

- The proposition (9a)–(9f) states that the infons hold in the situation s , jointly, by at least 70%

Example (A proposition that the situation s supports several infons)

$(s \models$ (9a)

$[\ll \text{read-to, reader} \mapsto x,$
 $\text{readed} \mapsto y,$ (9b)

$\text{listener} \mapsto z, \text{loc} \mapsto l; 1 \gg,$

$\ll \text{book, arg} \mapsto y, \text{loc} \mapsto l_1; 1 \gg,$ (9c)

$\ll \text{listen, arg} \mapsto z, \text{loc} \mapsto l_2; 0.80 \gg,$ (9d)

$l \subseteq l_1, l_2 \subseteq_t l],$ (9e)

$\text{eval} \mapsto 70\%)$ (9f)

Example (recursion variables: for denoting semantic parameters)

- q is a recursion (memory) variable
 restricted to be of the type C , by the proposition $(q : C)$
 q is restricted to be of the type of being read by c_a to

$$\{q\} \text{ such that } \{(q : C)\} \quad (10a)$$

$$\text{where } \{C := \lambda(y)(s \models \ll \text{read-to}, \quad (10b)$$

$$\text{reader}^{T_{a1}} \mapsto c_a, \quad (10c)$$

$$\text{readed}^{T_o} \mapsto y, \quad (10d)$$

$$\text{listener}^{T_{a1}} \mapsto z, \quad (10e)$$

$$\text{loc}^{\text{LOC}} \mapsto l; \quad (10f)$$

$$\text{pol}^{\text{POL}} \mapsto 0.45 \gg, \quad (10g)$$

$$\text{eval}^{\text{EVAL}} \mapsto 60\% \} \quad (10h)$$




Ongoing and Future Work

- Theoretical Development of Formal Situation Theory
- Choice and development of approach for the quantitative assessments and integration with situated information:
Deep Machine Learning
- Reasoning based on semantic representations of formal and human languages
- **Syntax-semantics interface** in computational grammar of human language

- With gratitudes to the team of
56th LINGUISTICS COLLOQUIUM
- Special thanks to
Coordinator Reinhard Rapp

THANK YOU!

Some References I

-  Barwise, J., Perry, J.: *Situations and Attitudes*.
Cambridge, MA:MIT press (1983).
Republished as [2]
-  Barwise, J., Perry, J.: *Situations and Attitudes*.
The Hume Series. CSLI Publications, Stanford, California (1999)
-  Loukanova, R.: *Situation Theory, Situated Information, and Situated Agents*.
In: N. et al. (ed.) *Transactions on Computational Collective Intelligence XVII, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 8790, pp. 145–170. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2014).
URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-44994-3_8

Some References II



Loukanova, R.: Formalisation of situated dependent-type theory with underspecified assessments.

In: B. et al. (ed.) Decision Economics. Designs, Models, and Techniques for Boundedly Rational Decisions. DCAI 2018, *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol. 805, pp. 49–56. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2019).

URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99698-1_6



Moschovakis, Y.N.: A Logical Calculus of Meaning and Synonymy. *Linguistics and Philosophy* **29**(1), 27–89 (2006).

URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10988-005-6920-7>

(n₁) S

phrase	
SYN	HEAD [verb]
VAL	[SPR ()]
COMPS	[()]
sem-cat	
INDEX	s
L-TYPE	PROF
T-HEAD	[]
RESTR	[[] []]
WHERE	{ k := kim, d := dog, H := hug } []
TERM	

(n₁) NP_s

word	
SYN	HEAD [noun]
VAL	[SPR ()]
COMPS	[()]
sem-cat	
INDEX	k
L-TYPE	IND
T-HEAD	k
RESTR	[[] []]
WHERE	{ k := kim }
TERM	

Kim

(n₂) VP

phrase	
SYN	HEAD [verb]
VAL	[SPR ()] []
COMPS	[()]
sem-cat	
INDEX	s
L-TYPE	REL(IND : HUGGER)
T-HEAD	[]
RESTR	[[] []]
WHERE	{ d := dog, H := hug } []
TERM	

(n₃) V

word	
SYN	HEAD [verb]
VAL	[SPR ()] []
COMPS	[()]
sem-cat	
INDEX	s
L-TYPE	REL(IND : HUGGER IND : HUGGED)
T-HEAD	h
RESTR	[[] []]
WHERE	{ h := hug }
TERM	

hugged

(n₄) NP_{s_a}

word	
SYN	HEAD [noun]
VAL	[COMPS ()]
COMPS	[SPR ()]
sem-cat	
INDEX	x _d
L-TYPE	REL(REL(IND : ARG) : Q-RANGE)
T-HEAD	[]
RESTR	[[] []]
WHERE	{ d := dog }
TERM	

some dog