

Eigenvalues of elliptic operators

Comparing apples and oranges

Tim Schmatzler

Stockholm University

June 2026

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$-\Delta\psi = \mu\psi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\partial_\nu\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$-\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

$$-\Delta\psi = \mu\psi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$\partial_\nu\psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$$

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\varphi &= \lambda\varphi && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\psi &= \mu\psi && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$.

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$. $(\text{dom}(\sqrt{L_D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N}))$

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$. ($\text{dom}(\sqrt{L_D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N})$)
- Pólya: $\mu_2 \leq \lambda_1$.

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$. ($\text{dom}(\sqrt{L_D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N})$)
- Pólya: $\mu_2 \leq \lambda_1$.
- Friedlander-Filonov: $\mu_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n$.

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$. ($\text{dom}(\sqrt{L_D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N})$)
- Pólya: $\mu_2 \leq \lambda_1$.
- Friedlander-Filonov: $\mu_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n$.
- Levine-Weinberger: if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ convex, then $\mu_{n+d} \leq \lambda_n$.

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$. ($\text{dom}(\sqrt{L_D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N})$)
- Pólya: $\mu_2 \leq \lambda_1$.
- Friedlander-Filonov: $\mu_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n$.
- Levine-Weinberger: if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ convex, then $\mu_{n+d} \leq \lambda_n$.
- Rohleder: if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, then $\mu_{n+2} \leq \lambda_n$.

Eigenvalue inequalities for the Laplacian

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ a bounded Lipschitz domain, $L = -\Delta$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\varphi = \lambda\varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta\psi = \mu\psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_\nu\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array}$$

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$. ($\text{dom}(\sqrt{L_D}) \subseteq \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N})$)
- Pólya: $\mu_2 \leq \lambda_1$.
- Friedlander-Filonov: $\mu_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n$.
- Levine-Weinberger: if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ convex, then $\mu_{n+d} \leq \lambda_n$.
- Rohleder: if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, then $\mu_{n+2} \leq \lambda_n$.

Proof idea: find functions $u \in \text{dom}(\sqrt{L_N})$ solving $Lu = \lambda u$.

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz,

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$$

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta,$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_D ,
 $0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ of L_N .

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta,$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta,$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_D$,
 $0 = \tilde{\mu}_1 < \tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\mu}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_N$.

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta,$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_D$,
 $0 = \tilde{\mu}_1 < \tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\mu}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_N$.

Question: how do they compare?

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta,$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_D$,
 $0 = \tilde{\mu}_1 < \tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\mu}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_N$.

Question: how do they compare?

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$ and $\tilde{\mu}_n \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n$.

The inhomogeneous setting

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded Lipschitz, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\rho + \frac{1}{\rho}$ bounded.

Differential operators

$$L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \Delta,$$

with Dirichlet and with Neumann boundary conditions.

Eigenvalues $0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_D$,
 $0 = \tilde{\mu}_1 < \tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \dots \leq \tilde{\mu}_n \rightarrow \infty$ of $\tilde{L}_N$.

Question: how do they compare?

- Trivial: $\mu_n \leq \lambda_n$ and $\tilde{\mu}_n \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n$.
- S: if Ω and ρ convex, then $\tilde{\mu}_d \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected,

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

If Ω has at most one hole, then $\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1\} \leq \lambda_1$.

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

If Ω has at most one hole, then $\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1\} \leq \lambda_1$.

Note: no assumption on ρ !

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

If Ω has at most one hole, then $\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1\} \leq \lambda_1$.

Note: no assumption on ρ !

Simple estimate

- If $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0$, then $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \geq \lambda_1$.

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

If Ω has at most one hole, then $\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1\} \leq \lambda_1$.

Note: no assumption on ρ !

Simple estimate

- If $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0$, then $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \geq \lambda_1$.
- If $\Delta \log \rho \leq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

If Ω has at most one hole, then $\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1\} \leq \lambda_1$.

Note: no assumption on ρ !

Simple estimate

- If $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0$, then $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \geq \lambda_1$.
- If $\Delta \log \rho \leq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

Note: ρ satisfies both $\iff \rho$ constant.

A comparison result in planar domains

Theorem (S., 2026)

If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is simply connected, then $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1$.

If Ω has at most one hole, then $\min\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\lambda}_1\} \leq \lambda_1$.

Note: no assumption on ρ !

Simple estimate

- If $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0$, then $\tilde{\lambda}_1 \geq \lambda_1$.
- If $\Delta \log \rho \leq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

Note: ρ satisfies both $\iff \rho$ constant.

Corollary

If Ω is simply connected and $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0$, then $\tilde{\mu}_3 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$,

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1)$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v$$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho}(u'/\rho)''$$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho}(u'/\rho)'' = -\frac{1}{\rho}(-\lambda u)'$$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho}(u'/\rho)'' = -\frac{1}{\rho}(-\lambda u)' = \lambda v.$$

The one-dimensional picture

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho}(u'/\rho)'' = -\frac{1}{\rho}(-\lambda u)' = \lambda v. \quad \dots \quad \square$$

The one-dimensional picture $\operatorname{div} = \nabla = \partial_x$

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho}(u'/\rho)'' = -\frac{1}{\rho}(-\lambda u)' = \lambda v. \quad \dots \quad \square$$

The one-dimensional picture $\operatorname{div} = \nabla = \partial_x$

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho} u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho} v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho} (u'/\rho)'' = -\frac{1}{\rho} (-\lambda u)' = \lambda v. \quad \dots \quad \square$$

① Cheng: ρ concave $\implies \lambda_1 = \tilde{\mu}_2 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \mu_2$.

The one-dimensional picture $\operatorname{div} = \nabla = \partial_x$

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho : (-1, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

$$Lu = -\left(\frac{1}{\rho}u'\right)' \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}v = -\frac{1}{\rho}v''.$$

- $\rho \equiv 1 \rightsquigarrow \lambda_n = \mu_{n+1}$ and eigenfunctions are $\sin(nx)$ resp $\cos(nx)$.

Observation: If $d = 1$, then $\lambda_n = \tilde{\mu}_{n+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_{n+1}$.

Proof: If $L_D u = -(u'/\rho)' = \lambda u$ and $u(-1) = u(1) = 0$, then $v = u'/\rho$ satisfies $v'(-1) = (\rho u')'(-1) = -\lambda u(-1) = 0 = v'(1)$ and

$$\tilde{L}_N v = -\frac{1}{\rho}(u'/\rho)'' = -\frac{1}{\rho}(-\lambda u)' = \lambda v. \quad \dots \quad \square$$

- ① Cheng: ρ concave $\implies \lambda_1 = \tilde{\mu}_2 \geq \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \mu_2$.
- ② ρ convex and even $\implies \lambda_1 = \tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1 = \mu_2$.

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$,

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^2$

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^2$
(where $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)^t$ and $\operatorname{curl} F = \partial_1 F_2 - \partial_2 F_1$).

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^2$
(where $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)^t$ and $\operatorname{curl} F = \partial_1 F_2 - \partial_2 F_1$).

Note: if $\rho \equiv 1$, then $M = (-\Delta, -\Delta)^t$.

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^2$
(where $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)^t$ and $\operatorname{curl} F = \partial_1 F_2 - \partial_2 F_1$).

Note: if $\rho \equiv 1$, then $M = (-\Delta, -\Delta)^t$.

Lemma

The operator M with domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

is self-adjoint,

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^2$
(where $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)^t$ and $\operatorname{curl} F = \partial_1 F_2 - \partial_2 F_1$).

Note: if $\rho \equiv 1$, then $M = (-\Delta, -\Delta)^t$.

Lemma

The operator M with domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

is self-adjoint, and has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

In planar domains: an operator on vector fields

- $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected, $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $\mathbb{L}^2 = L^2(\Omega)^2$.
- Operator $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^2$
(where $\nabla^\perp = (-\partial_2, \partial_1)^t$ and $\operatorname{curl} F = \partial_1 F_2 - \partial_2 F_1$).

Note: if $\rho \equiv 1$, then $M = (-\Delta, -\Delta)^t$.

Lemma

The operator M with domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

is self-adjoint, and has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

Moreover $\sigma(M) = \sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}$.

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^{\perp} \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$,

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^{\perp} \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_{\nu}v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_\nu v = 0$,

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^{\perp} \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_{\nu}v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_{\nu}v = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_\nu v = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF$$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_\nu v = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla v + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla v)$$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_\nu v = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla v + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla v) = -(\nabla(-\tilde{\mu}v) + 0)$$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_\nu v = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla v + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla v) = -(\nabla(-\tilde{\mu}v) + 0) = \tilde{\mu}F.$$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta v = \tilde{\mu}v$ and $\partial_\nu v = 0$, then $F = \nabla v$ satisfies $F \cdot \nu = \partial_\nu v = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla v + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla v) = -(\nabla(-\tilde{\mu}v) + 0) = \tilde{\mu}F.$$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^{\perp} \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof:

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$,

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^\perp u$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^\perp u$ satisfies
 $G \cdot \nu = \partial_\tau u = 0,$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^{\perp} \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^{\perp}u$ satisfies
 $G \cdot \nu = \partial_{\tau}u = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} G|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla^{\perp}u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\tilde{\lambda}u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla_{\frac{1}{\rho}} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^{\perp} \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^{\perp}u$ satisfies $G \cdot \nu = \partial_{\tau}u = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} G|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla^{\perp}u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\tilde{\lambda}u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF$$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^\perp u$ satisfies $G \cdot \nu = \partial_\tau u = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} G|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla^\perp u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\tilde{\lambda}u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla^\perp u + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla^\perp u) = -(0 + \nabla^\perp(-\tilde{\lambda}u)) = \tilde{\lambda}G.$$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^\perp u$ satisfies $G \cdot \nu = \partial_\tau u = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} G|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla^\perp u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\tilde{\lambda}u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla^\perp u + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla^\perp u) = -(0 + \nabla^\perp(-\tilde{\lambda}u)) = \tilde{\lambda}G.$$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

The spectrum of $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$

$$\operatorname{dom}(M) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : MF \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = \operatorname{curl} F|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2$$

Claim: $\sigma_p(M) = \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\}.$

Proof: If $-\frac{1}{\rho}\Delta u = \tilde{\lambda}u$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, then $G = \nabla^\perp u$ satisfies $G \cdot \nu = \partial_\tau u = 0$, $\operatorname{curl} G|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{curl} \nabla^\perp u|_{\partial\Omega} = -\tilde{\lambda}u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and

$$MF = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla^\perp u + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \nabla^\perp u) = -(0 + \nabla^\perp(-\tilde{\lambda}u)) = \tilde{\lambda}G.$$

So $\{\tilde{\mu}_n : n \geq 2\} \cup \{\tilde{\lambda}_n : n \geq 1\} \subseteq \sigma_p(M).$

Reverse inclusion follows from $\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega)$ □

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.
- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ first eigenfunction of L_D ,

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.
- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ first eigenfunction of L_D , set $F = (\alpha, \beta)^t u \in \mathbb{L}^2$.

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.
- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ first eigenfunction of L_D , set $F = (\alpha, \beta)^t u \in \mathbb{L}^2$.
- $F \in \operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M})$

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.
- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ first eigenfunction of L_D , set $F = (\alpha, \beta)^t u \in \mathbb{L}^2$.
- $F \in \operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M})$ (but $F \notin \operatorname{dom}(M)$)

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.
- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ first eigenfunction of L_D , set $F = (\alpha, \beta)^t u \in \mathbb{L}^2$.
- $F \in \operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M})$ (but $F \notin \operatorname{dom}(M)$)
- F satisfies $MF = \lambda_1 F$

Finishing the proof - comparison with $L = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$

Goal: $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\} \leq \lambda_1.$

Recall: $M = -(\nabla \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} + \nabla^\perp \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl})$ has form domain

$$\operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M}) = \{F \in \mathbb{L}^2 : \operatorname{div} F, \operatorname{curl} F \in L^2(\Omega), F \cdot \nu = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{L}^2.$$

- $\max\{\tilde{\mu}_2, \min\{\tilde{\mu}_3, \tilde{\lambda}_1\}\}$ is the *second* eigenvalue of M .
- Compare M with operator (L_D, L_D) on $\mathbb{L}^2$.
- $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ first eigenfunction of L_D , set $F = (\alpha, \beta)^t u \in \mathbb{L}^2$.
- $F \in \operatorname{dom}(\sqrt{M})$ (but $F \notin \operatorname{dom}(M)$)
- F satisfies $MF = \lambda_1 F - \operatorname{curl}(\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla u) \begin{pmatrix} -\beta \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad \dots \quad \square$

Final remarks

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract:

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract: Helmholtz decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{H} = TH_1 \oplus^\perp SH_0.$$

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract: Helmholtz decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{H} = TH_1 \oplus^\perp SH_0.$$

- In dimensions $d \geq 3$ we get $\tilde{L}_N = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla$ and $\hat{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}^*$

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract: Helmholtz decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{H} = TH_1 \oplus^\perp SH_0.$$

- In dimensions $d \geq 3$ we get $\tilde{L}_N = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla$ and $\hat{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}^*$
- Does not (?) work for unifying L_D and L_N

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract: Helmholtz decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H} = TH_1 \oplus^\perp SH_0.$$

- In dimensions $d \geq 3$ we get $\tilde{L}_N = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla$ and $\hat{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}^*$
- Does not (?) work for unifying L_D and L_N
 $\rightsquigarrow$ instead one gets $L_N = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$ and $\hat{L}_D = -\operatorname{div} \rho \nabla$.

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract: Helmholtz decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \mathcal{H} = TH_1 \oplus^\perp SH_0.$$

- In dimensions $d \geq 3$ we get $\tilde{L}_N = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla$ and $\hat{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}^*$
- Does not (?) work for unifying L_D and L_N
 $\rightsquigarrow$ instead one gets $L_N = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$ and $\hat{L}_D = -\operatorname{div} \rho \nabla$.
- The corollary $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0 \implies \tilde{\mu}_3 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1$ complements the following

Final remarks

- Inequalities for higher eigenvalues of M .
- $\tilde{\tilde{L}} = -\Delta(\rho \cdot)$ has the same spectrum as $\tilde{L}$.
- “ $M = \tilde{L}_D \oplus \tilde{L}_N$ ” can be made abstract: Helmholtz decomposition

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \nabla H^1(\Omega) \oplus^\perp \nabla^\perp H_0^1(\Omega) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H} = TH_1 \oplus^\perp SH_0.$$

- In dimensions $d \geq 3$ we get $\tilde{L}_N = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \nabla$ and $\hat{L} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl}^*$
- Does not (?) work for unifying L_D and L_N
 $\rightsquigarrow$ instead one gets $L_N = -\operatorname{div} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla$ and $\hat{L}_D = -\operatorname{div} \rho \nabla$.
- The corollary $\Delta \frac{1}{\rho} \leq 0 \implies \tilde{\mu}_3 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1$ complements the following

Nehari, Bandle: if $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ simply connected and $\Delta \log \rho \geq 0$, then $\tilde{\mu}_2 \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1$.

Thank you for your attention