

Lecture 9: Schrödinger operators

Sources: ·) Weidmann: Linear operators in Hilbert spaces, Chap. 9, 10

·) Reed/Simon: Methods of Modern Mathematical physics, Vol. II: Chap. X.2, Vol. IV: Chap. XIII.4

Reminder: $-\Delta: L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ self-adjoint

Spectrum: $\sigma(-\Delta) = [0, \infty) = \sigma_c(-\Delta)$, i.e. no eigenvalues.

Goal for today: Study Schrödinger operators $-\Delta + V$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(-\Delta + V)u := -\Delta u + Vu \quad V \text{ real-valued}$$

Relatively bounded perturbations

If $A = A^*$ in Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $V \subseteq V^*$, when is $A+V$ self-adjoint? (dom $(A+V) = \text{dom } A \cap \text{dom } V$ may be small)

Definition Let A, V linear operators in \mathcal{X} . We call V A -bdd. (or relatively bdd. w.r.t. A) ; if $\text{dom } A \subseteq \text{dom } V$ and $\exists a, b \geq 0$:

$$\|Vf\| \leq a\|f\| + b\|Af\| \quad \forall f \in \text{dom } A. \quad (*)$$

The infimum over all b s.t. $\exists a$ s.t. $(*)$ holds is called A -bound of V .

Notes: .) If V bdd with $\text{dom } V = \mathcal{X}$, then V is A -bdd. with A -bound 0.

.) If V is A -bdd. with A -bound b then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists a_\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\|Vf\| \leq a_\varepsilon \|f\| + (b + \varepsilon) \|Af\| \quad \forall f \in \text{dom } A,$$

but not necessarily for $\varepsilon = 0$.

.) V A -bdd. $(\Leftrightarrow) \exists \alpha, \beta > 0 : \|Vf\|^2 \leq \alpha \|f\|^2 + \beta \|Af\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \text{dom } A,$
 and the inf over all β s.t. $\exists \alpha$ s.t. ... is the A -bound of V .

Lemma Let $A = A^*$ in \mathcal{X} and let V a linear op. in \mathcal{X} with $\text{dom } A \subset \text{dom } V$. Let

$$c_{\pm} := \limsup_{\eta \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|V(A - i\eta)^{-1}\|. \quad (\eta \in \mathbb{R})$$

(With the usual being ∞ if $V(A - i\eta)^{-1}$ unbd.). Then

$$V \text{ } A\text{-bdd.} \Leftrightarrow c_{+} < \infty \Leftrightarrow c_{-} < \infty.$$

In this case, $c_{+} = c_{-} = A$ -bound of V .

Proof: (of a part) Assume that V A -bdd, i.e. $\exists a, b > 0$ s.t.

$$\|Vf\|^2 \leq a^2 \|f\|^2 + b^2 \|Af\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \text{dom } A.$$

Then for $\eta > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}f\|^2 &\leq a^2 \|(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}f\|^2 + b^2 \|A(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}f\|^2 \\ &= b^2 \left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} \|(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}f\|^2 + \|A(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}f\|^2 \right) \\ &= b^2 \|(A \pm i\frac{a}{b})(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\cdot\|^2$ as scalar prod and use $A = A^*$.

Choose $\eta = \frac{a}{L}$. We get that $V(A \pm i\frac{a}{L})^{-1}$ is bdd. with
 $\|V(A \pm i\frac{a}{L})^{-1}\| \leq b$.

Since this is true for each suff. large a , $C_{\pm} \leq b$.

This is true for any $b > A$ -bound of V . $\Rightarrow C_{\pm} \leq A$ -bound of V .

Kato Rellich theorem Let $A = A^*$ in \mathcal{X} and let $V \in V^*$ be A -bounded with A -bound < 1 . Then $(A+V)^* = A+V$. □

Proof By the lemma there ex. $\eta > 0$ s.t. $\|V(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}\| < 1$.

Then by Neumann series, $\mathbb{I} + V(A \pm i\eta)^{-1}$ is invertible with a bounded, everywhere defined inverse. Hence,

$$A + V \pm i\eta = (\mathbb{I} + V(A \pm i\eta)^{-1})(A \pm i\eta)$$

is boundedly invertible with

$$(A + V \pm i\eta)^{-1} = (A \pm i\eta)^{-1} (\mathbb{I} + V(A \pm i\eta)^{-1})^{-1}$$

$\Rightarrow \pm i\eta \in \sigma(A+V) \Rightarrow A+V$ self-adjoint. □

Compact perturbations

Definition Let $A = A^*$ in \mathcal{X} . The set

$$\sigma_d(A) := \left\{ \lambda \in \rho_p(A) : \dim \ker(A - \lambda) < \infty, \exists \varepsilon > 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{s.t. } (\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon) \cap \sigma(A) = \{\lambda\} \right\}$$

is called discrete spectrum of A , and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) := \sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_d(A)$
essential spectrum.

Theorem (Weyl) Let $A = A^*$, $B = B^*$ in \mathcal{X} . Assume

$$(A - \mu)^{-1} - (B - \mu)^{-1}$$

is compact operator for some $\mu \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(B)$. Then

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(B).$$

Remark: B will play the role of $A + V$.

Schrödinger operator

Lemma $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists b > 0$:

$$\| \varphi \|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \| \Delta \varphi \|_{L^2} + b \| \varphi \|_{L^2} \quad \forall \varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Proof Note: if $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ s.t. $(1+|\cdot|^2)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then

$$u(x) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}}_{\in L^2} \underbrace{(1+|x|^2)u(x)}_{\in L^2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{1+|x|^2} (1+|x|^2) |u(x)| dx \\ &\stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \underbrace{\left\| \frac{1}{1+|\cdot|^2} \right\|_{L^2}}_{=: C} \| (1+|\cdot|^2) u \|_{L^2} \in C \left(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|1+|\cdot|^2\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and set $\hat{\varphi}_r(x) := r^3 (\mathcal{F}\varphi)(rx)$.

Then $(1+|\cdot|^2) \hat{\varphi}_r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\|\hat{q}_r\|_{L^1} = \|\mathcal{F}q\|_{L^1}, \quad \|\hat{q}_r\|_{L^2} = \tau^{3/2} \|\mathcal{F}q\|_{L^2},$$

$$\| | \cdot |^2 \hat{q}_r \|_{L^2} = \tau^{-1/2} \| | \cdot |^2 \mathcal{F}q \|_{L^2}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\mathcal{F}q\|_{L^1} \leq C \left(\tau^{3/2} \underbrace{\|\mathcal{F}q\|_{L^2}}_{=\|q\|_{L^2}} + \tau^{-1/2} \underbrace{\| | \cdot |^2 \mathcal{F}q \|_{L^2}}_{=\|\Delta q\|_{L^2}} \right).$$

Choose τ large and

$$\text{noting } \|q\|_{\infty} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}q\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \|\mathcal{F}q\|_{L^1}$$

(Riemann-Lebesgue lemma). □

Theorem Let $V = V_1 + V_2$ with $V_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $V_2 \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, real-valued. Then $-\Delta + V$ is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Note: $(-\Delta + V)u := -\Delta u + Vu$, i.e., $-\Delta + V = -\Delta + M_V$,

where $M_V u = Vu$, $\text{dom } M_V = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : Vu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\}$.

Proof Let $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $\forall a > 0 \exists b > 0$:

$$\|V\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq \|V_1\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + \|V_2\|_{\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{lemma}}{\leq} \|V_1\|_{L^2} (a \|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^2} + b \|\varphi\|_{L^2}) + \|V_2\|_{\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}.$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{dom } M_V$ and M_V is $-\Delta$ -bounded with $-\Delta$ -bound zero.

\Rightarrow (Kato Rellich) $-\Delta + V$ self-adjoint. \square

Ex. $V(x) = -\frac{1}{|x|}$ leads to $-\Delta + V$ self-adjoint.

Theorem Let $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ real-valued. Then

$$\sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta + V) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(-\Delta) = [0, \infty).$$

Proof $((-\Delta + 1)^{-1} g)(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|x-y|}}{|x-y|} g(y) dy.$

Hence $V(x) ((-\Delta + 1)^{-1} g)(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) \frac{e^{-|x-y|}}{|x-y|} g(y) dy,$

hence $M_V (-\Delta + 1)^{-1}$ is an integral operator with integral kernel $V(x) \frac{e^{-|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$, which belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ since $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

$\Rightarrow M_V (-\Delta + 1)^{-1}$ compact. $\Rightarrow M_V (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1}$ compact for each $\lambda < 0$.

Now for $\lambda < 0$ suff. negative, $\lambda \in \rho(-\Delta + V)$ and

$$\underbrace{(-\Delta + V - \lambda)^{-1} M_V (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1}}_{\text{compact}} = (-\Delta + V - \lambda)^{-1} (-\Delta + V - \lambda - (-\Delta - \lambda)) (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} = (-\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} - (-\Delta + V - \lambda)^{-1}.$$

□

Remarks .) $-\Delta + V$ with $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ can have discrete EV below zero, they can only converge to zero.

.) $\sigma(-\Delta + V)$ has a finite lower bound for $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$

(" $-\Delta + V$ is bounded from below")

