

# WARING-LIKE DECOMPOSITIONS OF POLYNOMIALS - 1

MARIA VIRGINIA CATALISANO, LUCA CHIANTINI, ANTHONY V. GERAMITA,  
AND ALESSANDRO ONETO

ABSTRACT. Let  $F$  be a homogeneous form of degree  $d$  in  $n$  variables. A Waring decomposition of  $F$  is a way to express  $F$  as a sum of  $d^{\text{th}}$  powers of linear forms. In this paper we consider the decompositions of a form as a sum of expressions, each of which is a fixed monomial evaluated at linear forms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$ , ( $k = \bar{k}$ ). The necessities of a given problem involving  $F$  often make it useful to have different ways to *decompose*  $F$ . E.g. in many computations it is useful to express a polynomial as a sum of monomials ordered in a specific way. Different applications call for different kinds of decompositions. The following papers give some interesting uses of some non-standard decompositions (see, e.g. [HL12], [Com02], [CM96],[CG15]).

One particular kind of decomposition that has received a great deal of attention is the *Waring decomposition*. This decomposition asks us to write  $F \in R_d$  in an efficient way as

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^s L_i^d$$

where each  $L_i$  is a linear form. There is an extensive literature on this decomposition with many interesting applications (see [CM96],[AH95],[IK99],[LT10], [CCG12]).

In this paper we want to propose an extension of the notion of Waring decomposition. To explain the idea we introduce the following notation.

Fix an integer  $d$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_r = \mathbb{Z}[Z_1, \dots, Z_r]$  and let  $\mathcal{M}_{r,d}$  be the set of all monomials in  $\mathcal{P}_r$  such that

$$M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}$$

and

- i)*  $d_i > 0$ , for all  $i$ ,
- ii)*  $d_1 + \cdots + d_r = d$ .

Of course, *i)* and *ii)* imply  $r \leq d$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M$  be as above and let  $F \in R_d$ .

- i)* An  $M$ -decomposition of  $F$  having length  $s$  is an expression of the form

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^s L_{1,j}^{d_1} \cdot L_{2,j}^{d_2} \cdots L_{r,j}^{d_r},$$

where the  $L_{i,j}$  are linear forms.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14Q20, 13P05, 14M99, 14Q15.

ii) The  $M$ -rank of  $F$  is the least integer  $s$  such that  $F$  has an  $M$ -decomposition of length  $s$ .

*Remark 1.2.* i) If  $M = Z_1^d$  then the  $M$ -rank of  $F$  is known as the *Waring rank* of  $F$ .

ii) Every  $F \in R_d$  has an  $M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}$ -decomposition of finite length for any choice of  $M$ . This is immediate from the fact that every  $F \in R_d$  has finite Waring rank and

$$L^d = L^{d_1} \cdots L^{d_r}.$$

iii) Two other special cases have received a great deal of attention recently: when  $M = Z_1 \cdots Z_r$  ( $r \geq 2$ ) then the forms in  $R_r$  which have  $M$ -rank equal to 1 are called *split forms* or *completely decomposable forms*.  $M$ -decompositions for these  $M$  are considered in [AB11], [Abo14], [CGG<sup>+</sup>] and [Tor].

When  $M = Z_1^{d-1} Z_2$ ,  $M$ -decompositions were first considered in [CGG02] and then in [BCGI09] and [Bal05]. They arose naturally from a consideration of the secant varieties of the tangential varieties to the Veronese varieties. The recent work [AV] is a major contribution to this decomposition problem.

iv) For the case of binary forms (i.e.  $n = 2$ ) this problem has its roots in the very foundations of modern algebra. Since the  $M$ -rank of a binary form is invariant under the usual  $SL_2(k)$  action on  $k[x_1, x_2]$ , we see in the works of Cayley, Salmon, Sylvester ([Cay09], [Sal64], [Syl04]) the search for the invariants which characterize forms of  $M$ -rank 1 for special choices of  $M$ .

A modern treatment of these classical investigations (as well as advances on them) can be found in the lovely papers of Chipalkatti (see [Chi02], [Chi03], [Chi04a], [Chi04b], [CC03]). The bibliographies in these papers give a quick entry into the classical literature on the subject.

v) The  $M$ -rank of a form obviously depends on  $M$ . E.g. recall that the Waring rank of  $xyz$  in  $k[x, y, z]$  is 4 (see e.g. [CCG12]) while if  $M = Z_1^2 Z_2$ , the  $M$ -rank of  $xyz$  is 2. To see this note that the  $M$ -rank is bigger than 1, but

$$4xyz = x((y+z)^2 - (y-z)^2).$$

There is a geometric way of considering the problem of finding the  $M$ -rank of a polynomial  $F \in R_d$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$ ,  $M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{P}[R_1]$  be the projective space based on the  $k$ -vector space  $R_1$ . We define the morphism

$$\varphi_M : \underbrace{\mathbb{P}[R_1] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}[R_1]}_{r \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}[R_d] \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\binom{d+n-1}{n-1}-1}$$

by

$$\varphi_M([L_1], \dots, [L_r]) = [L_1^{d_1} L_2^{d_2} \cdots L_r^{d_r}],$$

and denote the image of  $\varphi_M$  by  $\mathbb{X}_M$ .

*Remark 1.3.* i) Notice that when we have  $M = Z_1^d$ , then  $\mathbb{X}_M$  is precisely the  $d^{\text{th}}$  Veronese embedding of  $\mathbb{P}(R_1) = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}(R_d)$ .

ii) In general, the variety  $\mathbb{X}_M$  is a projection of an appropriate Segre-Veronese variety. However, we will not use that fact in this paper.

iii) The equations which define the Veronese variety are well-known (see e.g. [Puc98]). It would be interesting to find equations for the variety  $\mathbb{X}_M$  when  $M \neq Z_1^d$ . (See, however, [Bri10] for the variety of split forms).

If  $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^t$  is any projective variety, then

$$\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}) := \overline{\{P \in \mathbb{P}^t \mid P \in \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle, P_i \in \mathbb{X},\}}$$

is the  $s^{\text{th}}$ -secant variety of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

$$\sigma_1(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X},$$

$$\sigma_2(\mathbb{X}) = \text{secant line variety to } \mathbb{X}, \text{ etc.}$$

*Remark 1.4.* When  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_M$  and  $M = Z_1^d$ , so  $\mathbb{X}$  is the  $d^{\text{th}}$  Veronese embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  then, if  $F \in R_d$  has Waring rank  $s$  we have  $[F] \in \sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$ . In particular, if  $s$  is the least integer for which  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{P}(R_d)$  then the generic element  $[F] \in \mathbb{P}(R_d)$  has Waring rank  $s$ .

This is the fundamental connection between the algebraic problem of finding the Waring rank of a generic form and the geometric problem of finding the dimensions of secant varieties to Veronese varieties.

One can ask about the dimensions of the secant varieties of any projective variety. More precisely: given  $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^t$  what is  $\dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$  for  $s \geq 2$ ?

There is a reasonable guess which gives an upper bound for  $\dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$ . It is obtained by counting parameters and observing that  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^t$ , namely

$$(1) \quad \dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X}) \leq \min\{s \dim \mathbb{X} + s - 1, t\}.$$

If we have equality in (1) for some  $s$ , then we say that  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$  has the *expected dimension*, while if (1) is a strict inequality for some  $s$ , we say that  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$  is *defective* and the difference

$$\min\{s \dim \mathbb{X} + s - 1, t\} - \dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X}),$$

is called the *s-defect* of  $\mathbb{X}$ .

If  $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^t$  is non-degenerate then  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{P}^t$  for some  $s$  and so the  $s$ -defect is eventually zero, for all  $s \gg 0$ .

The particular problem we consider in this paper is that of finding  $\dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M)$  for  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and any  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$ .

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2 we recall Terracini's Lemma, which is our main tool in finding  $\dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M)$ . Terracini's Lemma needs a description of the tangent space at a general point of  $\mathbb{X}_M$ . This tangent space corresponds to a vector space which is the graded piece of an ideal  $I$  in  $R$ . We use information about this ideal to find the dimensions we need.

In the third section we find the dimensions of all the secant varieties of  $X_M$  for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$  for any  $r$  and any  $d$  in case  $n = 2$ , i.e. for binary forms. We find that there are no defective secant varieties in this case.

In the final section we find the dimensions of the secant line varieties of  $X_M$  for any  $n$  and for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$  for any  $r$  and any  $d$ . In this family of cases we find exactly one defective secant line variety.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by recalling the Lemma of Terracini [Ter11].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^t$  be a projective variety and  $P \in \sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$  be a general point, where*

$$P \in \langle P_1, \dots, P_s \rangle$$

*and  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  are general points of  $\mathbb{X}$ . Then the tangent space to  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X})$  at  $P$  is the projectivization of the sum of the tangent spaces to  $\mathbb{X}$  at  $P_1, \dots, P_s$ , i.e.,*

$$T_P(\sigma_s(\mathbb{X})) = \mathbb{P}(\langle T_{P_1}(\mathbb{X}), \dots, T_{P_s}(\mathbb{X}) \rangle).$$

To apply Terracini's Lemma to our situation we first need to calculate  $T_P(\mathbb{X}_M)$  for a general  $P$  in  $\mathbb{X}_M$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$ ,*

$$M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}.$$

*Let  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  be general linear forms in  $R_1$  so that  $P = [L_1^{d_1} \cdots L_r^{d_r}]$  is a general point of  $\mathbb{X}_M = \varphi_M(\underbrace{\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}_{r \text{ times}}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{\binom{d+n-1}{n-1}-1}$ .*

*If*

$$F = L_1^{d_1} \cdots L_r^{d_r} \text{ and } I_P = \left( \frac{F}{L_1}, \dots, \frac{F}{L_r} \right) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (I_P)_j$$

*then*

$$T_P(\mathbb{X}_M) = \mathbb{P}((I_P)_d).$$

*Proof.* Since  $P = \varphi_M([L_1], \dots, [L_r])$ , the image of a line through the point  $([L_1], \dots, [L_r])$  in the direction  $([\tilde{L}_1], \dots, [\tilde{L}_r])$  is the curve on the Segre-Veronese variety  $\mathbb{X}_M$  whose points are parameterized by

$$[(L_1 + \lambda \tilde{L}_1)^{d_1} \cdots (L_r + \lambda \tilde{L}_r)^{d_r}].$$

The tangent vector to this curve, at  $P$ , is given by the coefficient of  $\lambda$  in this last expression, that is,

$$\left( \frac{F}{L_1} \right) \tilde{L}_1 + \cdots + \left( \frac{F}{L_r} \right) \tilde{L}_r.$$

These, for varying choices of the  $\tilde{L}_i$ , give that the tangent vectors at  $P$  are precisely the degree  $d$  piece of the ideal generated by the  $\frac{F}{L_i}$ . □

*Remark 2.3.* *i)* Note that if  $M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}$  and we are considering forms in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $\mathbb{X}_M = \varphi_M(\underbrace{\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}_{r \text{ times}})$ , Since the generic fibre of  $\varphi_M$  is

finite, the dimension of  $T_P(\mathbb{X}_M)$  is  $r(n-1)$ .

*ii)* It is easy to see that if  $F$  and the  $L_i$  are as above, then

$$I_P = \left( \frac{F}{L_1}, \dots, \frac{F}{L_r} \right)$$

$$(2) \quad = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}) \cdot (L_2 L_3 \cdots L_r, L_1 L_3 \cdots L_r, \dots, L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{r-1}) .$$

**Corollary 2.4.** (for binary forms) Let  $R = k[x_1, x_2]$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$  ( $r \geq 2$ )

$$M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}.$$

If  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r$  are general linear forms in  $R$ ,  $P = [L_1^{d_1} \cdots L_r^{d_r}] \in \mathbb{X}_M$  and we set  $I'$  to be the principal ideal

$$I' := (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1})$$

then we have

$$T_P(\mathbb{X}_M) = \mathbb{P}(I'_d).$$

*Proof.* In view of equation (2) above we first consider the ideal

$$J := (L_2 L_3 \cdots L_r, L_1 L_3 \cdots L_r, \dots, L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{r-1}).$$

**Claim 2.5.**  $J = (x_1, x_2)^{r-1}$

*Proof.* (of the Claim) By induction on  $r$ . Obvious for  $r = 2$  so let  $r > 2$ . Since

$$J = (L_r \cdot (L_2 L_3 \cdots L_{r-1}), L_1 L_3 \cdots L_{r-1}, \dots, L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{r-2}), L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{r-1}),$$

we have, by the induction hypothesis, that

$$J = (L_r \cdot (x_1, x_2)^{r-2}, L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{r-1}).$$

Since  $R = k[x_1, x_2]$ ,  $L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{r-1}$  is a general form in  $R_{r-1}$ , hence not in the space  $L_r(x_1, x_2)^{r-2}$ . This last implies that  $\dim J_{r-1} = r$ . Since the ideal  $J$  begins in degree  $r-1$  we are done with the proof of the claim.  $\square$

Now, using Claim 2.5, equation (2) and Proposition 2.2 we have that

$$I = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1})(x_1, x_2)^{r-1} \subseteq (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1})$$

and since  $(x_1, x_2)^{r-1} = \bigoplus_{j \geq r-1} R_j$ , we have that

$$I_d = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1})_d$$

$\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r} \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$ . Let  $P = \varphi_M([L_1], \dots, [L_r])$  be a general point of  $\mathbb{X}_M$ , where the  $L_i$  are general linear forms in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and let  $I_P$  be as in Proposition 2.2. Then

$$I_P = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}) \cap (\bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (L_i, L_j)^{d_i+d_j-1}).$$

*Proof.* By 2.3 we need to prove that the two ideals

$$I_P = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}) \cdot (L_2 L_3 \cdots L_r, \dots, L_1 \cdots L_{r-1})$$

and

$$J = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}) \cap (\bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (L_i, L_j)^{d_i+d_j-1})$$

are equal.

Since each generator of  $I_P$  is in  $J$ , we have  $I_P \subseteq J$ .

Now let  $H = L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}$ , and suppose that  $F = HG \in J$ . Since the  $L_i$  are general linear forms we have

$$H \in (L_i, L_j)^{d_i+d_j-2} \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq i < j \leq r,$$

but

$$H \notin (L_i, L_j)^{d_i+d_j-1} \quad \text{for every } 1 \leq i < j \leq r,$$

so  $G \in \text{rad}((L_i, L_j)^{d_i+d_j-1} = (L_i, L_j))$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ .

Since  $\bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (L_i, L_j) = (L_2 \cdots L_r, \dots, L_1 \cdots L_{r-1})$  we are done.  $\square$

### 3. THE BINARY CASE

For binary forms there is a simple theorem covering all cases.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $R = k[x, y] = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} R_j$  and let  $M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r} \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$  for any  $r$  and any  $d$  with  $r \leq d$ .*

*Then  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M)$  has the expected dimension for every  $s$ , i.e.*

$$\dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M) = \min\{s \dim \mathbb{X}_M + (s-1), d\} = \min\{sr + s - 1, d\}$$

*for every  $s$  and every  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Since every form in  $R$  of degree  $d$  splits as a product of linear forms and the general form of degree  $d$  has no repeated factors, we conclude that for  $M = Z_1 \cdots Z_d$ ,  $\mathbb{X}_M = \mathbb{P}(R_d) = \mathbb{P}^d$ . This takes care of the case  $r = d$ . So, for the rest of the proof we may assume that  $r < d$ .

By Corollary 2.4 we know that if  $P = [L_1^{d_1} \cdots L_r^{d_r}]$  is a general point of  $\mathbb{X}_M$  (where  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  are general in  $R_1$ ) then

$$T_P(\mathbb{X}_M) = \mathbb{P}((I'_P)_d) \quad \text{where} \quad I'_P = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}).$$

So, by Terracini's Lemma, if  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  are a set of  $s$  general points of  $\mathbb{X}_M$  then

$$\dim(\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M)) = \dim_k(I'_{P_1} + \cdots + I'_{P_s})_d - 1$$

where if  $P_j = [L_{j1}^{d_1} \cdots L_{jr}^{d_r}]$  then  $I'_{P_j} = (L_{j1}^{d_1-1} \cdots L_{jr}^{d_r-1})$ .

However, [GS98] [Cor.2.3] states that for the special points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s = [H_1^d] \dots, Q_s = [H_s^d] \in \mathbb{X}_M$  (where the  $H_j$  are general in  $R_1$ ) and for the ideal  $J = (H_1^{d-r}, \dots, H_s^{d-r})$  we have

$$\dim_k(J_d) = \min\{d+1, s(r+1)\} = \min\{d, sr + (s-1)\} + 1.$$

Since we know that  $\dim \sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M) \leq \min\{d, sr + (s-1)\}$ , it follows (by semicontinuity) that

$$\dim(I'_{P_1} + \cdots + I'_{P_s})_d = \min\{d, sr + (s-1)\} + 1.$$

and so  $\sigma_s(\mathbb{X}_M)$  always has the expected dimension.  $\square$

### 4. THE SECANT LINE VARIETIES TO $\mathbb{X}_M$

In this section we will find the dimensions of the secant line varieties of  $\mathbb{X}_M$  for every  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$  and for every polynomial ring  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

When  $r = 1$  this is one part of the complete solution to Waring's Problem solved by Alexander and Hirschowitz in [AH95], so we will assume that  $r \geq 2$ . In the previous section we solved this problem for  $n = 2$ , so we may now assume that  $n \geq 3$ .

The main result of this paper is the following Theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{r,d}$ ,*

$$M = Z_1^{d_1} \cdots Z_r^{d_r}.$$

*Then  $\sigma_2(\mathbb{X}_M)$  is not defective, except for  $M = Z_1^2 Z_2$  and  $n = 3$ . For this last case  $\mathbb{X}_M$  has 2-defect equal to 1.*

*Proof.* We always have  $d \geq r$ . The case  $d = r$  is covered in [Abo14] so we may as well assume that  $d > r$  also.

By Terracini's Lemma we need to find the vector space dimension of

$$(I_{P_1} + I_{P_2})_d,$$

where  $P_1 = [L_1^{d_1} \cdots L_r^{d_r}]$  and  $P_2 = [N_1^{d_1} \cdots N_r^{d_r}]$  are points of  $\mathbb{X}_M$  and the

$$\{L_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}, \{N_i, 1 \leq i \leq r\}$$

are general sets of linear forms in  $R$ .

By Corollary 2.6 we obtain

$$I_{P_1} = (L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1}) \cap (\cap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (L_i, L_j)^{d_i+d_j-1}),$$

$$I_{P_2} = (N_1^{d_1-1} \cdots N_r^{d_r-1}) \cap (\cap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (N_i, N_j)^{d_i+d_j-1}).$$

By the exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow (I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2})_d \longrightarrow (I_{P_1} \oplus I_{P_2})_d \longrightarrow (I_{P_1} + I_{P_2})_d \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the fact that we know that  $\dim(I_{P_i})_d = r(n-1) + 1$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ), (see Remark 2.3), it is enough to find  $\dim(I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2})_d$ .

Recall that the expected dimension of  $\sigma_2(\mathbb{X}_M)$  is

$$\exp.\dim \sigma_2(\mathbb{X}_M) = \min\{2r(n-1) + 1, \binom{d+n-1}{n-1} - 1\}.$$

Note that, if  $\dim(I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2})_d = 0$ , then by (3) the dimension of  $\sigma_2(\mathbb{X}_M)$  is as expected.

Let  $V$  be the subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  defined by  $I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2}$  and let  $f$  be a form of degree  $d$  in  $I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2}$ . Clearly

$$F = L_1^{d_1-1} \cdots L_r^{d_r-1} \cdot N_1^{d_1-1} \cdots N_r^{d_r-1} \cdot G$$

where  $G$  is a form of degree  $d - 2(d-r) = 2r - d$ .

If  $2r - d < 0$ , of course there are no forms of this degree, hence  $(I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2})_d = 0$  and we are done. So assume  $2r - d \geq 0$ .

The form  $G$  vanishes on the residual scheme  $W$  of  $V$  with respect to the  $2r$  multiple hyperplanes  $\{L_i = 0\}$  and  $\{N_i = 0\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ). It is easy to see that  $W$  is defined by the ideal

$$(\cap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (L_i, L_j)) \cap (\cap_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (N_i, N_j)).$$

The form  $G$  cannot be divisible by all the  $L_i$  and  $N_j$  for then it would have degree at least  $2r$ .

Without loss of generality assume that  $G$  is not divisible by  $L_1$ , and let  $H$  be the hyperplane defined by  $L_1$ . The form  $G$  cuts out on  $H$  a hypersurface  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $H$  having degree  $2r - d$ , and containing the  $r - 1$  hyperplanes of  $H$  cut out by  $L_2, \dots, L_r$ . Hence, in order that  $G$  exist,  $2r - d$  has to be at least  $r - 1$ , that is,  $d \leq r + 1$ . But we are assuming  $d \geq r + 1$ , so we get that  $d = r + 1$ .

It follows that  $\mathcal{S}$  has degree  $r - 1$ , contains the  $r - 1$  hyperplanes of  $H$  cut out by  $L_2, \dots, L_r$ , and contains the trace on  $H$  of the schemes defined by the ideals  $(N_i, N_j)$ . Since the  $N_i$  are generic with respect to  $H$  and to the  $L_i$ 's, now the only possibility for  $g$  to exist is that the schemes  $Y_{i,j}$  defined by the ideals  $(N_i, N_j)$  do not intersect  $H$ . Since  $H \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-2} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and  $Y_{i,j} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-3} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , then  $H \cap Y_{i,j} = \emptyset$  only for  $n \leq 3$ .

Recalling that  $n > 2$ ,  $2 \leq r \leq n$  and  $d = r + 1$ , we are left with the following cases:

Case 1:  $n = 3$ ,  $r = 3$ ,  $d = 4$ ;

Case 2:  $n = 3$ ,  $r = 2$ ,  $d = 3$ .

In Case 1,  $M = Z_1^2 Z_2 Z_3$ , the form  $G$  has degree  $2r - d = 2$  and the scheme  $W$  is the union of 6 general points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , hence  $G$  does not exist, and so  $(I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2})_d = 0$ .

In Case 2,  $M = Z_1^2 Z_2$ , the form  $G$  has degree  $2r - d = 1$  and the scheme  $W$  is the union of 2 points of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Hence  $G$  can exist and describes the line through the two points. It follows that  $\dim(I_{P_1} \cap I_{P_2})_3 = 1$ . So from (2) we get

$$\dim(I_{P_1} + I_{P_2})_3 = 9,$$

that is,  $\dim \sigma_2(\mathbb{X}_M) = 8$ . But  $\exp.\dim \sigma_2(\mathbb{X}_M) = 9$ , and so  $\mathbb{X}_M$  has 2-defect = 1 and we are done. □

*Remark 4.2.* The exceptional case noted above was observed in [CGG02] in connection with the study of the secant varieties of the tangential varieties to Veronese varieties.

**Example 4.3.** We claim that the hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^9$  containing all those cubic forms of  $k[x, y, z]$  which can be written  $L_1^2 L_2 + N_1^2 N_2$  (with the  $L_i, N_i$  linear forms) is precisely the hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^9$  containing all singular cubics. It is well-known that the (closure) of the set of cubic plane curves with a double point is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^9$ . It will be enough to show that every nodal cubic can be written in the desired form (since cuspidal cubics can, after a change of variables, always be written in the form  $y^3 + x^2 z$ ).

First recall (see [Ful89]) that every nodal cubic can, after a change of variables, be written in the form

$$xyz - x^3 - y^3.$$

With a further change of variables given by

$$x = -X - Y; \quad y = X - Y; \quad z = -Z,$$

we get

$$X^2(6Y + Z) + Y^2(2Y - Z).$$

## REFERENCES

- [AB11] E. Arrondo and A. Bernardi. On the variety parameterizing completely decomposable polynomials. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215(3):201–220, 2011.
- [Abo14] Hirotachi Abo. Varieties of completely decomposable forms and their secants. *J. Algebra*, 403:135–153, 2014.
- [AH95] J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz. Polynomial interpolation in several variables. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):201–222, 1995.
- [AV] H. Abo and N. Vannieuwenhoven. Most secant varieties of tangential varieties to veronese varieties are nondefective. (Private communication).
- [Bal05] E. Ballico. On the secant varieties to the tangent developable of a Veronese variety. *J. Algebra*, 288(2):279–286, 2005.
- [BCGI09] A. Bernardi, M. V. Catalisano, A. Gimigliano, and M. Idà. Secant varieties to osculating varieties of Veronese embeddings of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . *J. Algebra*, 321(3):982–1004, 2009.
- [Bri10] Emmanuel Briand. Covariants vanishing on totally decomposable forms. In *Liaison, Schottky problem and invariant theory*, volume 280 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 237–256. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.

- [Cay09] Arthur Cayley. *The collected mathematical papers. Volume 2*. Cambridge Library Collection. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. Reprint of the 1889 original.
- [CC03] E. Carlini and J. Chipalkatti. On Waring’s problem for several algebraic forms. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(3):494–517, 2003.
- [CCG12] E. Carlini, M.V. Catalisano, and A. V. Geramita. The solution to the Waring problem for monomials and the sum of coprime monomials. *J. Algebra*, 370:5–14, 2012.
- [CG15] Luca Chiantini and Anthony V. Geramita. Expressing a general form as a sum of determinants. *Collect. Math.*, 66(2):227–242, 2015.
- [CGG<sup>+</sup>] M.V. Catalisano, A.V. Geramita, A. Gimigliano, B. Harbourne, J. Migliore, U. Nagel, and Y.S. Shin. Secant varieties of the varieties of reducible hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . arXiv:1502.00167.
- [CGG02] M. V. Catalisano, A. V. Geramita, and A. Gimigliano. On the secant varieties to the tangential varieties of a Veronesean. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(4):975–985, 2002.
- [Chi02] J.V. Chipalkatti. Decomposable ternary cubics. *Experiment. Math.*, 11(1):69–80, 2002.
- [Chi03] Jaydeep V. Chipalkatti. On equations defining coincident root loci. *J. Algebra*, 267(1):246–271, 2003.
- [Chi04a] Jaydeep V. Chipalkatti. Invariant equations defining coincident root loci. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 83(5):422–428, 2004.
- [Chi04b] Jaydeep V. Chipalkatti. The Waring locus of binary forms. *Comm. Algebra*, 32(4):1425–1444, 2004.
- [CM96] P. Comon and B. Mourrain. Decomposition of quantics in sums of power of linear forms. *Signal Processing*, 53(2):93–107, 1996. Special issue on High-Order Statistics.
- [Com02] Pierre Comon. Tensor decompositions: state of the art and applications. In *Mathematics in signal processing, V (Coventry, 2000)*, volume 71 of *Inst. Math. Appl. Conf. Ser. New Ser.*, pages 1–24. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [Ful89] William Fulton. *Algebraic curves*. Advanced Book Classics. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 1989. An introduction to algebraic geometry, Notes written with the collaboration of Richard Weiss, Reprint of 1969 original.
- [GS98] A.V. Geramita and H.K. Schenck. Fat points, inverse systems, and piecewise polynomial functions. *J. Algebra*, 204(1):116–128, 1998.
- [HL12] Didier Henrion and Jean-Bernard Lasserre. Inner approximations for polynomial matrix inequalities and robust stability regions. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 57(6):1456–1467, 2012.
- [IK99] A. Iarrobino and V. Kanev. *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras, and determinantal loci*, volume 1721 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [LT10] J. M. Landsberg and Z. Teitler. On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 10(3):339–366, 2010.
- [Puc98] M. Pucci. The veronese variety and catalecticant matrices. *Jo. of Alg.*, 202:72–95, 1998.
- [Sal64] G. Salmon. *Higher Algebra*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1964. Reprint of the 1885 original.
- [Syl04] J. J. Sylvester. *Collected Mathematical Papers*, volume I-IV. Cambridge University Press, 1904.
- [Ter11] A. Terracini. Sulle  $v_k$  per cui la varietà degli  $s_h$  ( $h + 1$ )-seganti ha dimensione minore dell’ordinario. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 31:392–396, 1911.
- [Tor] D.A. Torrance. Generic forms of low chow rank. arXiv:1508.05546.

(M.V.Catalisano) DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA MECCANICA, ENERGETICA, GESTIONALE E DEI TRASPORTI, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI GENOVA, GENOVA, ITALY.

*E-mail address:* `catalisano@diptem.unige.it`

(L. Chiantini) DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SIENA, ITALY.

*E-mail address:* `luca.chiantini@unisi.it`

(A.V. Geramita) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY, KINGSTON, ONTARIO, CANADA

*E-mail address:* `Anthony.Geramita@gmail.com`, `geramita@dima.unige.it`

(A.Oneto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN.

*E-mail address:* `oneto@math.su.se`