## COUNTING REAL ZEROS OF EXPONENTIAL SUMS

#### DMITRY NOVIKOV AND BORIS SHAPIRO

ABSTRACT. Below we show that for any positive integer k, there exists a positive integer N(k) such that any exponential sum of order (at most) k either has at most N(k) real zeros or infinitely many. Moreover, we show that the set of exponential sums of order (at most) k with more than 2k-3 real zeros has real codimension 1 in the set of all all exponential sums of order (at most) k.

# 1. Introduction

Consider a linear homogeneous differential equation

$$y^{(k)} + c_{k-1}y^{(k-1)} + \dots + c_0y = 0, (1)$$

with arbitrary complex constant coefficients  $c_{k-1}, \ldots, c_0$ 

The main motivation of the present study is the conjecture of J. H. Loxton and A. J. van der Poorten from 1977 (Conjecture 1' of [17]) claiming that for any positive integer k, there exists a constant  $\mu_k$  such that any non-trivial solution of any equation (1) either has at most  $\mu_k$  integer zeros or it has infinitely many integer zeros.

This conjecture was first settled by W. M. Schmidt in 1999, see [23] and also by J. H. Evertse and H. P. Schlickewei, see [7]. Apparently the best known at the moment upper bound for  $\mu_k$  was obtained in [2] and is given by

$$\mu_k < e^{e^{k^{\sqrt{11}k}}}.$$

In Problem 7 of [8], the second author jointly with R. Fröberg asked whether there exists an analog of the latter result of Schmidt-Evertse-Schlickewei for the set of real roots of non-trivial solutions of (1). The main purpose of this paper is to provide a positive answer to the latter question together with some additional information.

Given a function  $y(x) = p_1(x)e^{\lambda_1 x} + \cdots + p_{\ell}(x)e^{\lambda_{\ell} x}$ , where all  $\lambda_i$ 's are distinct complex numbers, and  $p_i(x)$ 's are univariate polynomials, we call y(x) an exponential sum of order  $\ell + \deg p_1(x) + \cdots + \deg p_{\ell}(x)$ . Obviously, any non-trivial solution y(x) of (1) is an exponential sum of order at most k and viceversa.

Zero distributions of exponential sums have been studied at least since the 1920's, see e.g. [3], [6], [18], [25], [26], [27], [29] and references therein. The case of exponential sums with real exponents is closely related to the case of almost periodic functions classically studied by H. Weyl [28], B. Ya. Levin [15], B. M. Levitan [16], M. G. Krein [11] etc. It is also related to the fewnomial theory as developed by A. Khovanskii in [10].

Denote by  $Eq_k$  the affine space of all linear equations of the form (1) with coordinates  $(c_{k-1}, \ldots, c_0)$  and denote by  $Eq_k^{\leq n} \subset Eq_k$  the (closed) subset of all equations

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34M03, Secondary 34M10. Key words and phrases. linear ode with constant coefficients, exponential sums, real zeros.

such that any non-trivial solution of these equations has at most n real zeros counting multiplicities. (Obviously, for n < k - 1, the set  $Eq_k^{\leq n}$  is empty.) Additionally, denote by  $Eq_k^{\infty} \subset Eq_k$  the set of all equations (1) possessing a non-trivial solution with infinitely many real zeros. (The set  $Eq_k^{\infty}$  is explicitly characterised in Lemma 4 below.) Observe that for any n,  $Eq_k^{\leq n} \cap Eq_k^{\infty} = \emptyset$ , and

$$Eq_k^{\leq k-1} \subseteq Eq_k^{\leq k} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \ldots$$

The main qualitative result of this note is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** (i) For all n = k - 1, ..., 2k - 3,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left( Eq_k^{\leq n} \setminus Eq_k^{\leq n-1} \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} Eq_k = 2k.$$

(ii) The closure of the set  $Eq_k^{\leq 2k-3}$  coincides with  $Eq_k$ . In other words, any non-trivial solution of a generic equation (1) has a most 2k-3 real zeros and this bound is sharp.

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 2.** For any positive integer  $k \geq 2$ , there exists a positive integer  $N(k) \geq 2k-3$  such that  $Eq_k = Eq_k^{\leq N(k)} \cup Eq^{\infty}(k)$ . In other words, there exists an upper bound of the number of real zeros taken over the set of all solutions of all equations (1) under the additional assumption that we only consider solutions with a finite number of real zeros, i.e. we disregard all solutions with infinitely many real roots.

**Remark 3.** Observe that the set of solutions considered in Theorem 2 is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}P^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^k$  which implies that the existence of N(k) is by no means obvious.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In  $\S$  2, we present our proofs of the results formulated above. In Appendix 3, we provide some additional information about the integer roots of exponential sums. Finally, in  $\S$  4 we present a number of open problems related to this topic.

Acknowledgements. The first author is grateful to the Department of Mathematics, the Weizmann Institute of Science for the hospitality in January 2015, October 2016, and November 2017 when this project was carried out. We want to thank G. Binyamini for discussions.

### 2. Proofs

It is a classical result that for any exponential sum

$$E(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} p_j(x)_j e^{\lambda_j x}, \qquad (2)$$

with pairwise distinct exponents  $\lambda_j$ , its zeros concentrate on the finite union of a straight lines whose directions are perpendicular to possible differences  $\lambda_{j'} - \lambda_{j''}$ ,  $j' \neq j''$ ; the exact location of these lines in  $\mathbb C$  depends on a particular choice of the coefficients  $p_j(x)$ , see e.g. [6, 18, 21]. The directions perpendicular to differences  $\lambda_{j'} - \lambda_{j''}$ ,  $j' \neq j''$  are classically referred to as *Stokes directions*. In particular, as soon as k > 1, the number of complex zeros of E(z) is always infinite.

**Lemma 4.** For a given equation (1), a direction  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$  is Stokes for (1) if and only if for any straight line L with slope  $\alpha$ , there exists a non-trivial solution of (1) with infinitely many zeros belonging to L.

*Proof.* By definition of a Stokes direction, there exist at least two characteristic roots  $\lambda_{j'}$  and  $\lambda_{j''}$  such that  $\alpha = i\rho(\lambda_{j'} - \lambda_{j''})$ , where  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the linear combination

$$ae^{\lambda_{j'}x} + be^{\lambda_{j''}x}$$

for appropriate a and b has infinitely many zeros on a line with slope  $\alpha$ . On the other hand, if  $\alpha$  is not a Stokes direction, then there is a finite upper bound on the number of zeros of any solution of (1), see e.g. Proposition 14 of [21].

Our proof of Theorem 1 consists of two parts. Firstly, we show that a generic equation (1) with a Stokes line sufficiently close to the real axis possesses a non-trivial solution with as least 2k-3 real roots. Secondly, we show that the set of equations (1) possessing a non-trivial solution with at least 2k-2 real zeros can not contain an open subset in  $Eq_k$ .

The first part of the proof is settled by the following statement.

**Proposition 5.** For a generic equation (1) and any its Stokes direction  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ , there exists a small neighborhood  $U_{\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R}P^1$  of  $\alpha$  such that for any  $\beta \in U_{\alpha}$ , there exists a non-trivial solution of (1) with at least 2k-3 roots on  $L_{\beta}$ , where  $L_{\beta}$  is any straight line with slope  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* By rescaling and shift we can assume that  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_k = 1$ . Denote  $a = (a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1})$  and  $r = (r_2, \ldots, r_{k-1})$ . Set  $a_k = -1 - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} a_i$  and define

$$F(a,x) := 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} a_i e^{\lambda_i x}.$$

Observe that for all  $a = (a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1})$ , F(a, x) vanishes at  $x = r_1 = 0$ . Define the mapping

$$\Phi: \mathbb{C}^{k-2} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-2} \to \mathbb{C}^{k-2}$$
, given by  $\Phi(a,r) = (F(a,r_2),...,F(a,r_{k-1}))$ .

Consider the function  $a = \rho(r)$  implicitly defined by the relation

$$F(\rho(r), r) = 0.$$

Since for any fixed  $r = (r_2, \dots, r_{k-1})$ , F(a, r) is an affine function of a which implies that  $\rho(r)$  is well-defined as soon as the differential

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(a,r)}{\partial a} = \left\{ e^{\lambda_j r_i} - e^{\lambda_k r_i} \right\}_{i,j=2}^{k-1}$$
(3)

is non-degenerate. An easy computation shows that

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial\Phi(a,r)}{\partial a}\right) = \det\left\{e^{\lambda_j r_i}\right\}_{i,j=1,2}^{k-1,k}.$$
(4)

**Lemma 6.** For a generic (1), the matrix  $\frac{\partial \Phi(a,r)}{\partial a}$  is non-degenerate for  $r = u(0) = (2\pi i, \dots, 2\pi i(k-2)) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-2}$ , with  $\rho(u(0)) = 0$ . The corresponding F(a,x) is given by  $F(a,x) = -e^x + 1$ .

*Proof.* For the tuple u(0), the right-hand side of (4) is the Vandermonde determinant equal to  $\prod_{1 \leq j' \leq j'' \leq k-1} (e^{2\pi i \lambda_{j'}} - e^{2\pi i \lambda_{j''}})$ . Under the genericity condition

$$\lambda_{j'} - \lambda_{j''} \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 \le j', j'' \le k - 1, \tag{5}$$

the latter is non-zero. As  $1 - e^x$  vanishes at all  $2\pi i j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , it has to be equal to the uniquely defined function  $F(\rho(u(0)), x)$ .

By continuity,  $\det\left(\frac{\partial\Phi(a,r)}{\partial a}\right)\neq 0$  for all  $u\in\mathbb{C}^{k-1}$  sufficiently close to u(0), thus defining a as a function of u in some neighborhood of u(0). The function  $\rho$  restricted to this neighborhood will be denoted by  $\rho_+$ .

From the equation  $\Phi(\rho_+(u), u) = 0$  we find that

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{+}}{\partial u} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Phi(a, u)}{\partial a}\right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi(a, u)}{\partial u},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(a,r)}{\partial r} \left( a(0), r(0) \right) = \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \sum_{l=2}^{k} \lambda_l a_l e^{\lambda_l r_j} \right\}_{j=2}^{k-1} \bigg|_{(0,u(0))} = -Id,$$

so

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{+}}{\partial r} (u(0)) = \left( \frac{\partial \Phi(a, u)}{\partial a} (0, u(0)) \right)^{-1}.$$

Now, replace u(0) with  $w(0) = -u(0) = (-2\pi i, -4\pi i, \dots, -2(k-2)\pi i) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-2}$ , i.e., with the (k-2)-tuple of roots of  $e^x - 1$  opposite to  $u_j(0)$ . The same computations as above hold, and the formulas are the same up to replacing  $\lambda_j$  by  $-\lambda_j$ . So, in some neighborhood of w(0) there is a uniquely defined mapping  $a = \rho_-(w)$  satisfying the condition  $\Phi(\rho_-(w), w) = 0$  with

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{-}}{\partial w} (w(0)) = \left(\frac{\partial \Phi(a, w)}{\partial a}\right)^{-1} (0, w(0)).$$

Taking the composition, we obtain the holomorphic mapping  $w = \xi(u)$  with  $\xi = \rho_-^{-1} \circ \rho_+$  which is defined in some neighborhood of u(0) and such that

$$M(\lambda) = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial u} \left( u(0) \right) = \frac{\partial \Phi(a, w)}{\partial a} \left( 0, w(0) \right) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \Phi(a, u)}{\partial a} \left( 0, u(0) \right) \right)^{-1}$$

is non-degenerate.

We need to find pairs  $(u, w = \xi(u))$  such that all  $u_j, w_l$  lie on the same line  $L \subset \mathbb{C}$  close to  $i\mathbb{R}$  and passing through  $r_0 = 0$ . First, consider the case of  $L = i\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $(u, w) \in (i\mathbb{R})^{2k-4} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2k-4} = \mathbb{C}^{k-2}_r \times \mathbb{C}^{k-2}_w$ .

**Lemma 7.** Assume that  $\det(\operatorname{Im} M(\lambda)) \neq 0$ . Then  $(i\mathbb{R})^{2k-4}$  intersects the graph  $\Gamma_{\xi}$  of  $\xi$  transversally.

**Corollary 8.** If det  $(Im M(\lambda)) \neq 0$ , then for any line L close to imaginary axis, there exists a solution having 2k-3 zeros on L close to  $2\pi i j, j=-k+2,...,k-2$ .

Indeed, the set  $\{(r, w), r_j, w_l \in \ell\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2k-2}$  is a deformation of  $(i\mathbb{R})^{2k-2}$ , so it intersects  $\Gamma_\rho$  at a point close to (r(0), w(0)).

**Example 9.** For k = 3, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Phi(a,w)}{\partial a} \left(0,w(0)\right) = e^{-2\pi i \lambda_2} - 1, \quad and \quad \frac{\partial \Phi(a,r)}{\partial a} \left(0,r(0)\right) = e^{2\pi i \lambda_2} - 1,$$

which implies that

$$M(\lambda) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \lambda_2} - 1}{e^{2\pi i \lambda_2} - 1} = -e^{-2\pi i \lambda_2} \notin \mathbb{R}$$

if and only if  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2 \not\in 1/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let us now compute  $Im M(\lambda)$ . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & \dots & \dots \\
0 & \dots & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Phi(a, w)}{\partial a} (0, w(0)) =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \dots & 1 \\
e^{-2\pi i \lambda_1} & \dots & e^{-2\pi i \lambda_{k-1}} \\
\dots & \dots & \dots \\
e^{-2\pi i (k-2)\lambda_1} & \dots & e^{-2\pi i (k-2)\lambda_{k-1}}
\end{pmatrix} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ e^{-2\pi i \lambda_j} - 1 \right\}_{j=2}^{k-1}. (6)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & \dots & \dots \\
\dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
0 & \dots & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Phi(a, u)}{\partial a} (0, u(0)) =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \dots & 1 \\
e^{2\pi i \lambda_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i \lambda_{k-1}} \\
\dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
e^{2\pi i (k-2)\lambda_2} & \dots & e^{2\pi i (k-2)\lambda_{k-1}}
\end{pmatrix} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ e^{2\pi i \lambda_j} - 1 \right\}_{j=2}^{k-1}. (7)$$

Therefore, up to a conjugation by a real matrix (which doesn't affect the non-degeneracy of  $Im M(\lambda)$ ), we get

$$M(\lambda)^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{2\pi i\lambda_{2}} & \dots & e^{2\pi i(k-2)\lambda_{2}} \\ & \dots & & \\ 1 & e^{2\pi i\lambda_{k}} & \dots & e^{2\pi i(k-2)\lambda_{k}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \operatorname{diag} \left\{ -e^{-2\pi i\lambda_{j}} \right\}_{j=2}^{k-1}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2\pi i\lambda_{2}} & \dots & e^{-2\pi i(k-2)\lambda_{2}} \\ & \dots & & \\ 1 & e^{-2\pi i\lambda_{k}} & \dots & e^{-2\pi i(k-2)\lambda_{k}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

 $M(\lambda)^T$  is the operator which takes a vector  $a=(a_2,\ldots,a_{k-1})$ , produces a vector of evaluations of the sum  $f(a,\lambda)=\sum_{j=2}^{k-1}a_je^{2\pi ij\lambda}$  at the points  $\lambda=-\lambda_2,\ldots,-\lambda_{k-1}$ , then multiplies these values by the values of  $-e^{2\pi i\lambda}$  at these points, and finally finds a function  $g(z)=\sum_{j=2}^{k-1}b_je^{2\pi ij\lambda}$  taking these values at points  $\lambda_l$ .

Set  $t=e^{2\pi iz}$ ,  $t_l=e^{2\pi i\lambda_l}$ . Using this notation,  $M(\lambda)^T$  is the matrix of the operator  $\mathcal M$  which maps a polynomial f to a polynomial g such that g(1/t)=-tf(t) at points  $t=t_l$  in the basis  $\{1,t,\ldots,t^{k-3}\}$ . In other words, the rows of  $M(\lambda)$  are the coefficients of the polynomials  $g_j(t)$  such that  $g_j(t_l^{-1})=-t_l^j,\ j=1,\ldots,k-2$ .

**Lemma 10.** Let 
$$D(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (t - t_i^{-1}) = t^{k-2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} d_i t^{k-3-i}$$
. Then

$$g_1 = -d_{k-2}^{-1} \left( t^{k-4} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-3} d_j t^{k-3-j} \right), \quad g_j = (-1)^{j-1} g_1^j \mod D(t).$$

In order to prove that  $\det Im M(\lambda) \neq 0$  generically, one should show this for just one tuple of  $\lambda_l$ . Take  $t_l^{-1} = \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{l-1}{k-2} + i\epsilon\right)$ . Then  $D(t) = t^{k-2} - e^{i(k-2)\epsilon}$ , and  $g_j = -e^{i(k-2)\epsilon}t^{k-2-j}$ . Therefore  $Im M(\lambda) = -\sin((k-2)\epsilon)\Delta^c$ , where  $\Delta_{ij}^c = \delta_{i,k-1-j}$ . Hence  $\det Im M(\lambda) \neq 0$ .

Now we start proving the second part of Theorem 1. Let  $\Gamma_{n,k} \subset \mathbb{C}P_a^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{k-1}_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^n_z$  be the closure of the set of solutions of the system

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_j e^{\lambda_j z_i} = 0. \right\},\,$$

where  $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = 0$ .

**Lemma 11.** For any  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  is a complex analytic subvariety of dimension  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma_{n,k} = 2k - 2$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, for any non-trivial solution of any equation, the set of its zeros is discrete. Moreover, typically the number of zeros is infinite which implies that the set  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  has the same dimension for any  $n \geq 1$ .

REMARK, Show that  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  is irreducible???? Consider the projection  $\pi_z: \mathbb{C}P_a^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}_\lambda^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}_z^n \to \mathbb{C}_z^n$  and restrict it to  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ . Set  $I_{n,k} := \pi_z(\Gamma_{n,k}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_z^n$ .

**Lemma 12.** For  $n \geq 2k-2$ , the map  $\pi_z : \Gamma_{n,k} \to I_{n,k}$  is discrete over a generic point in  $I_{n,k}$ . In particular, for  $n \geq 2k-2$ ,  $I_{n,k}$  is a complex analytic variety of

Maybe  $\pi_z: \Gamma_{n,k} \to I_{n,k}$  is 1-1 over a generic point in the image. Is it true that  $I_{n,k}$  is equidimensional?

*Proof.* There is a natural mapping  $I_{n,k} \to I_{2k-2,k}$ , sending a tuple  $(z_1,\ldots,z_n)$  to  $(z_1,\ldots,z_{2k-2})$ , which commutes with  $\pi_z$ . Therefore, it is enough to prove it for n = 2k - 2.

As the set  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  is locally parameterized by  $(a,\lambda)$ , it is enough to provide one point  $(a,\lambda,z)\in\Gamma_{n,k}$  where the mapping  $\phi:(a,\lambda)\to z$  has a non-degenerate

differential  $d\phi$ . For n=2k-2 this means that  $\phi$  is a local diffeo. Let us compute  $d\phi$ . Let  $F: \mathbb{C}P_a^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}_\lambda^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}_z^{2k-2} \to \mathbb{C}P^{2k-2}$  be the mapping  $F(a,\lambda,z) = (\sum_{j=1}^k a_j e^{\lambda_j z_i})_{i=1}^{2k-2}$ , so  $\Gamma_{2k-2,k} = \{F=0\}$ . Then  $F(a,\lambda,\phi(a,la)) \equiv 0$ ,

$$d\phi = -\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial (a,\lambda)}\right).$$

The matrix  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = \operatorname{diag}\{\sum_{j=1}^k a_j \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j z_i}\}$  is generically non-degenerate. To compute the second matrix, we take a chart  $a_k = 1$ . Also, we assume  $\lambda_k = 1$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial(a,\lambda)} = \left(e^{\lambda_j z_i} | a_j z_i e^{\lambda_j z_i}\right)_{i,j=1}^{2k-2,k-1},\tag{9}$$

and

$$\det \frac{\partial F}{\partial(a,\lambda)} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j \cdot \det M, \text{ where } M = \left(e^{\lambda_j z_i} | z_i e^{\lambda_j z_i}\right)_{i,j=1}^{2k-2,k-1} \tag{10}$$

For  $a = (1, 1, \dots, 1), \lambda = (\frac{1}{k}, \dots, \frac{k-1}{k}, 1)$  the function

$$f(z) = \sum a_j e^{\lambda_j z} = \sum_{i=1}^k e^{\frac{j}{k}z} = \frac{e^z - 1}{1 - e^{-z/k}}$$

has zeros  $z_i = 2\pi Il(i)$ , where l(i) = i for i = 1, ..., k-1, and l(i) = i+1 for  $i = k, \dots, 2k - 2$  (where  $I = \sqrt{-1}$ ).

For these values of  $(a, \lambda)$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_j \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j z} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{j}{k} e^{\frac{j}{k}z} = f'(z) = \frac{e^z (1 - e^{-z/k}) - \frac{1}{k} (e^z - 1) e^{-z/k}}{(1 - e^{-z/k})^2} = \frac{k e^z - (k+1) e^{z-z/k} + e^{-z/k}}{k (1 - e^{-z/k})^2}.$$

For the values of  $z_i$  above,  $e^{z_i} = 1 \neq e^{z_i/k}$ , so  $f'(z_i) = \frac{1}{1-e^{-z_i/k}} \neq 0$  for all  $i=1,\ldots,2k-2$ . Thus the matrix  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}$  is non-degenerate for these values of  $(a,\lambda,z)$ 

It remains to show that  $\det M \neq 0$  for these values of  $(a, \lambda, z)$ . Note that  $z_{i+k-1} = z_i + 2\pi I k$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ . Therefore  $e^{\lambda_j z_i} = e^{\lambda_j z_{i+k-1}}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ , and  $z_{i+k-1}e^{\lambda_j z_{i+k-1}} = z_i e^{\lambda_j z_i} + 2\pi I k e^{\lambda_j z_i}$ . Therefore the matrix M has the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_1 & M_2 + 2\pi IkM_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $M_1 = \{e^{\lambda_j z_i}\}_{i,j=1}^{k-1}$ . Subtracting the first (k-1) rows from the last (k-1) rows, we see that  $\det M = (2\pi I k)^{k-1} (\det M_1)^2$ . Finally,

$$\det M_1 = \det\{e^{2\pi I \frac{j}{k}i}\}_{i,j=1}^{k-1} = \prod_{1 \le j \le k-1} e^{2\pi I \frac{j}{k}} \cdot \prod_{1 \le j < j' \le k-1} \left(e^{2\pi I \frac{j}{k}} - e^{2\pi I \frac{j'}{k}}\right) \ne 0.$$

$$\tag{11}$$

Remark. Set  $I_{n,k}^{\mathbb{R}}:=I_{n,k}\cap\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}_z^n$ . Then, for  $n\geq 2k-2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}I_{n,k}^{\mathbb{R}}\leq 2k-2$ . (More generally,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be substitutes by a totally real subvariety of dimension n.) Set  $\Delta_{n,k}:=\pi_z^{-1}(I_{n,k}^{\mathbb{R}})\subseteq\Gamma_{n,k}$ .

Let  $\Delta_2$  be the critical locus of the projection  $\pi_z$ . Then

$$\Delta_{n,k} = (\Delta_{n,k} \setminus \Delta_2) \cup (\Delta_{n,k} \cap \Delta_2)$$

**Lemma 13.** For any k and  $n \ge 2k - 2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_{n,k} \setminus \Delta_2 \le 2k - 2$ .

*Proof.* On  $\Delta_{n,k} \setminus \Delta_2$  the projection  $\pi_z$  is a local biholomorphism.

Introduce  $\pi_{\lambda}: \mathbb{C}P_a^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{C}_z^n \to \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}^{k-1}$ . The fiber of the projection  $\pi_{\lambda}: \Delta_{n,k} \to J_{n,k}$  are real 1-dimensional since the parallel translation of the roots on the real axis preserves the equation.

Corollary 14. For  $n \geq 2k-2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{\lambda} (\Delta_{n,k} \setminus \Delta_2) \leq 2k-3 < \dim \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}^{k-1}$ .

**Proposition 15.** For  $n \ge 2k - 2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Delta_2 < k - 1$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let us first observe that each  $Eq_k \leq n \subseteq Eq_k$  can be fibered over the

## 3. Appendix. Integer roots of exponential polynomials

This material is included here for the sake of completeness and is mainly borrowed from [8]. Take the first non-trivial case m = 2k - 1 and assume that  $(0 = t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_{2k-1})$  all are rational numbers. Multiply them by their least common denominator and assume, therefore, that they are positive integers. We have the following intriguing problem.

Question 4. Which sequences  $I = (0 = i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_{2k-1})$  are bad and which are good meaning that there exist pairwise distinct  $(x_1, ..., x_k)$  forming the  $(2k-1) \times k$ -matrix of incomplete rank.

We get the following reduction of Question 4. For any sequence of non-negative integers  $J=(0\leq j_1< j_2<...< j_k)$  consider the associated Schur polynomial  $S_J(x_1,...,x_k)$  given by

$$S_J(x_1, ..., x_k) = \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{j_1} & x_2^{j_1} & ... & x_k^{j_1} \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ x_1^{j_k} & x_2^{j_k} & ... & x_k^{j_k} \end{vmatrix} / W(x_1, ..., x_k),$$

where  $W(x_1,...,x_k)$  is the van der Monde determinant.

Now given a sequence  $I = (0 = i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_{2k-1})$  cover it by k subsequences  $J_1, ..., J_k$  of length k each. For example, take  $J_1 = (i_1, ..., i_{k-1}, i_k)$ ;

 $J_2=(i_1,...,i_{k-1},i_{k+1});\ J_3=(i_1,...,i_{k-1},i_{k+2});...;\ J_k=(i_1,...,i_{k-1},i_{2k-1}).$  Take the corresponding Schur polynomials  $S_{J_1}(x_1,...,x_k),...,S_{J_k}(x_1,...,x_k)$  and form the ideal  $< I_{J_1,...,J_k}>$  within the ring  $S[x_1,...,x_k]$  of all symmetric functions in  $x_1,...,x_k$  generated by  $S_{J_1}(x_1,...,x_k),...,S_{J_k}(x_1,...,x_k)$ . Finally, take the quotient ring

$$\mathcal{R}_{J_1,...,J_k} = \mathcal{S}[x_1,...,x_k]/\langle I_{J_1,...,J_k} \rangle$$
.

**Proposition 16.** The sequence I of length 2k-1 is good (resp. bad) if for any its covering by subsequences  $J_1, ..., J_k$  each of length k the quotient ring  $\mathcal{R}_{J_1, ..., J_k}$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e. is a 0-dimensional ring (resp. is a ring of positive dimension).

**Remark 17.** The same statement holds for sequences I of an arbitrary length bigger than 2k-1 and their coverings.

#### 4. Final remarks

Given a slope  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ , let  $L_{\alpha}$  be a straight line in  $\mathbb{C}$  with slope  $\alpha$ . (We use the real and the imaginary parts of z as standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $L_{\alpha}$  is defined up to a parallel translation.)

**Definition 18.** For a given equation (1) and a slope  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ , define the oscillation number of (1) in the direction  $\alpha$  as:

$$O(\alpha) := \sup_{y \in Sol} \sharp(y, L_{\alpha}), \tag{12}$$

where Sol denotes the projective space of all non-trivial solutions of (1) considered up to non-vanishing factor and  $\sharp(y, L_{\alpha})$  is the counted with multiplicities number of zeros of a nontrivial solution  $y \in Sol$  lying on the straight line  $L_{\alpha}$ . The number  $O(\alpha)$  considered as an integer-valued function of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$  is called the oscillation function of (1).

**Remark 19.** (i) by translation invariance, the right-hand side of (12) is independent of a particular choice of  $L_{\alpha}$ ;

- (ii) the number  $O(\alpha)$  is allowed to attain value  $+\infty$ ;
- (iii) for any equation (1) of order k and any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ ,  $O(\alpha) \geq k-1$ .

Further, for a given slope  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$  and a non-negative number  $w \geq 0$ , let  $S_{\alpha,w}$  be an infinite open strip of width w bounded by two straight lines with slope  $\alpha$ . By definition,  $S_{\alpha,0}$  is a straight line with slope  $\alpha$ . (Notice that  $S_{\alpha,w}$  is defined up to a parallell translation.)

**Definition 20.** For a given equation (1) and a given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ , define the width of (1) in the direction  $\alpha$  as

$$W(\alpha) := \sup_{w} \{ \sharp(y, S_{\alpha, w}) \le O(\alpha), \ \forall y \in Sol \},$$
(13)

where  $\sharp(y, S_{w,\alpha})$  is the number of counted with multiplicities zeros of a nontrivial solution  $y \in Sol$  lying in the strip  $S_{\alpha,w}$ . The number  $W(\alpha)$  considered as an non-negative function of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$  is called the width function of (1).

**Remark 21.** (i) by translation invariance, the right-hand side of (13) is independent of a particular choice of  $S_{\alpha,w}$ ;

(ii)  $W(\alpha)$  is allowed to attain value  $+\infty$ ; if  $W(\alpha) = +\infty$ , then  $S_{\alpha,+\infty} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ .

The following question is the main topic of the present paper.

**Problem 22.** For a given equation (1), find/estimate its oscillation function  $O(\alpha)$  and its width function  $W(\alpha)$ .

**Example 23.** (1) For any equation (1) of the first order,  $O(\alpha) \equiv 0$  and  $W(\alpha) \equiv +\infty$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ .

(2) For equations (1) of order 2, the situation is as follows. If (1) has coinciding characteristic numbers  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , then  $O(\alpha) \equiv 1$  and  $W(\alpha) \equiv +\infty$ . If  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $O(\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha$  not perpendicular to  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  and  $O(\alpha^*) = +\infty$  for the unique value of  $\alpha^*$  perpendicular to  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ .  $W(\alpha^*) = +\infty$  while for  $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$ ,  $W(\alpha) = \dots$ .

(3) For an equation of any order k with all coinciding characteristic numbers,  $O(\alpha) \equiv k - 1$  and  $W(\alpha) \equiv +\infty$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}P^1$ .

#### References

- D. Aharonov, A diagonal theorem and its applications to a disconjugacy problem, Compl. Var. Ellip. Eq. vol. 5(2-4) (1986), 209–213.
- [2] P. B. Allen, On the multiplicity of linear recurrence sequences, J. Number Th., vol. 126 (2007), 212–216.
- [3] E. T. Bell, Exponential Polynomials, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, vol. 35(2), (Apr., 1934), pp. 258–277.
- [4] G. Binyamini, D. Novikov, S. Yakovenko, On the number of zeros of Abelian integrals. A constructive solution of the infinitesimal Hilbert sixteenth problem, Inventiones Mathematicae, vol. 181(2), (2010), p. 227–290.
- [5] W. A. Coppel, Disconjugacy, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 220, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [6] D. G. Dickson, Zeros of exponential sums, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 16(1), (Feb., 1965), pp. 84–89.
- [7] J. H. Evertse ad H. P. Schlickewei, A qualitative version of the Absolute Subspace theorem, J. reine angew. Math. vol. 548 (2002), 21–127.
- [8] R. Fröberg, B. Shapiro, On Vandermonde varieties, Mathematica Scandinavica, vol. 119, (2016) 73–91.
- [9] E. Hille, Ordinary differential equations in the complex domain. Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1976), xi+484 p.
- [10] A. G. Khovanskii, Fewnomials, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 88, AMS, Providence, RI, 1991, 139 pp.
- [11] M. G. Krein, B. Ya. Levin, B. On entire almost periodic functions of exponential type. (Russian) Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 64, (1949). 285–287.
- [12] M. Lavie, Disconjugacy of linear differential equations in the complex domain, Pacific J. Math., vol. 32(2), (1970), 435–457.
- [13] M. Lavie, The Schwarzian derivative and disconjugacy of nth order linear differential equations, Canadian J. Math., vol. 21, (1969), 235–249.
- [14] A. Ju. Levin, Disconjugacy of solutions of equations  $x^{(n)} + p_1(t)x^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_n(t)x = 0$ , Soviet Math. Surveys, vol. 24, (1969), 43–96.
- [15] B. Ya. Levin Raspredelenie kornej celyh funkcii, GosTehIzd, 1956.
- [16] B. M. Levitan, V. V. Zhikov Pochti-periodicheskie funkcii i differencialnye uravneniya, Izdatelstvo Moskovskogo Universiteta, 1978.
- [17] J. H. Loxton and A. J. van der Poorten, On the growth of recurrence sequences, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. vol. 81 (1977), 369–377.
- [18] C. J. Moreno, The zeros of exponential polynomials (I), Comp. Mathematica, vol. 26(1), (1973), 69–78.
- [19] Z. Nehari, The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 55, (1949), pp 545–551.
- [20] R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 46, (1953).
- [21] D. Novikov, B. Shapiro, On global non-oscillation of linear ordinary differential equations with polynomial coefficients, J. Differential Equations vol. 261(7), (2016), 3800–3814.
- [22] S. Panigrahi, Criteria for disfocality and disconjugacy for third order differential equations, Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations (2009), No. 23, 1–17.
- [23] W. M. Schmidt, The zero multiplicity of linear recurrence sequences, Acta Math. vol. 182 (1999), 243–282.
- [24] B. Shapiro, Spaces of linear differential equations and flag manifolds. Math. USSR -Izv. vol. 36(1), (1991), 183–197.

- [25] Tijdeman, R. On the number of zeros of general exponential polynomials. Nederl. Akad.Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 74, Indag. Math. vol. 33, (1971), 1–7.
- [26] H. L. Turrittin, Distribution of zeros for certain exponential sums, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 66(2), (Apr., 1944), pp. 199–228.
- [27] A. J. Van der Poorten, A note on the zeros of exponential functions, Comp. Mathematica, vol. 31(2), (1975), 109–113.
- [28] H. Weyl, Mean motion, American J. Math., vol. 60(4) (1938), 889–896.
- [29] B. Zilber, Exponential sums, equations and the Schanuel conjecture, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 65 (2002), 27–44.

Department of Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100 Israel  $E\text{-}mail\ address$ : dmitry.novikov@weizmann.ac.il

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden  $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$  shapiro@math.su.se